

Seattle Public Schools

Budget Work Session



Agenda

- 1. FY22-23 Budget Development Calendar
- 2. Participatory Budget next steps
- 3. WSS Committee Recommendations next steps
- 4. Continuing FY22-23 Budget Development
 - Central Office
 - Transportation
- 5. Governor's Budget and other legislation

FY22-23 Draft Budget Development Calendar

- October 27, 2021 Budget Work Session
- October 18 thru December 3rd Participatory Budget Work sessions
- November 10, 2021 Budget Work Session
- December 9, 2021 Final WSS Changes determined
- **December 15, 2021** Budget Work Session
- January 5, 2022 Budget Work Session to review recommendations
 - Review WSS Changes
 - PB recommendations
 - Review Overall major budget changes/agreement on budget (move to Jan 19)
- January 10, 2022 Legislative session begins
- January 12 to February 2nd- Central budgets technical process of staffing/line-item budgeting
- January 19, 2022 Budget Work Session
- February 28, 2022 Budget Allocations to Schools
- March 14, 2022 Regular Legislative session ends
- March 23, 2022 Budget Work Session
- April 27, 2022 Budget Work Session
- May 2, 2022 Final General Fund Balancing, Budget Book development
- May 25, 2022 Budget Work Session
- June 6, 2022 Board Action Report and Budget Resolution to A&F
- June 15, 2022 Introduce Budget to Board
- June 22, 2022 Budget Work Session
- July 6, 2022 Required Public Hearing
- July 6, 2022 Board Action to adopt school year 2022-23 budget



Participatory Budgeting

Board Policy 6114

High poverty schools get more funding but it doesn't need to be made up. They need more!

 It's about evening the playing field of opportunities and access to experiences.

 This is not about my district doesn't give us a counselor so we can buy one.

Key Recommendations:

- 1. Set policy around supplemental funding for equity as targeted-universal approach to all schools.
- 25% of all PTSA funds allocated toward PB pool restricted to high needs schools.
- 3. Includes direct gifts to schools through district.
- 4. Community group is responsible for oversight.
- Seek corporate and philanthropic matching funds.

Restorative Justice

Families need to be involved. Hearing their voices in terms of the RJ process.

HR matters. Who are these people and how are they hired and selected?

Five schools received grants for the RJ process. What do we know about their experience?

Key Recommendations

- 1. Center the voices of students and families most adversely affected by disciplinary policies in the design and rollout of RJ.
- Establish family engagement as key part of related HR decisions including confirmation by affected communities.
- 3. Balance the focus on RJ between discipline and support. It needs to more realistically account for on-the-ground punitive actions towards communities that constitute the disciplinary pipeline.
- 4. Ensure there is sufficient money and time set aside to properly do this work.

Recommendations for PB @ SPS

- 1. Allocate sustainable funding sources for **PB@SPS** including supplemental and discretionary funds (e.g., 25% of PTSA funds).
- 2. Embrace anti-oppressive design practices and discourses to maintain focus on equity throughout evolution of the process.
- 3. Increase institutional focus and commitment and protect participatory budgeting from weaponization.
- 4. Seek broad-based engagement including corporate and philanthropic matching funds.

EXAMPLE The group used a supplemental funding amount of \$3,629,329 based on a snapshot of last year's budget. Reallocation of **25**% of that amount would yield \$907,332 to be reallocated toward high needs schools and students through a targeted PB process.

Next Steps

- 1. Monthly follow-up with past and new contributors
- 2. Revise process recommendations
- 3. Identify additional contributors

School Equity Tiers 2021-22

School Equity Tiers | Changes in 2021-22

Changes to '21-22 Equity Tiers Recommended by WSS Committee:

1. Removed student achievement from the Equity Tier formula

 State test (SBA) results no longer included as a component measure in annual Equity Tier calculations.

2. Expanded the definition of students counted as African American Males

 Definition expanded to include Any Black/African American male (AAM), including AAM students counted as Multiracial or Hispanic/LatinX per the Federal 7 race/ethnicity categories.

Note: Changes will apply to calculation of index values for both 2020-21 and 2021-22 data. (The new model will apply to both years in the 2-year average.)



School Equity Tiers | Methodology

Method uses data for **6 student groups**:

- 1. Black/African American male students (Any Black/African American Males, including those classified as Multiracial and Hispanic/LatinX per Federal 7 rules)
- 2. Students of Color Furthest from Educational Justice (Black/African American, Native American, Pacific Islander, Hispanic/LatinX, or Southeast Asian, including Multiracial students from these groups)
- 3. Students who qualify for free/reduced meal program
- **4. Students who are Multilingual learners** (Students currently served by or recently exited the District ELL program)
- 5. Students born outside the US
- 6. Students experiencing homelessness

Three Two measures calculated for each student group:

- 1. Count of students enrolled (Oct 1)
- 2. Percent of students enrolled (Oct 1)
- 3. Percent meeting standard (ELA)

Three Two measures for each of six student groups yields <u>up to</u>

18 12 measures for each school

Measures converted to **Equity Tiers**

- Each measure (up to 18 12 for each school) is converted to a **Decile (1-10)** based on the districtwide distribution and averaged to create an **Equity Index (1.0 to 10.0)** for each school. This Index is converted to **Equity Tiers (1-4)** using cutpoints (based on standard deviations)
- Annual Equity Tiers based on 2-Year rolling average of each school's Equity Index



School Equity Tiers | Methodology

Tiering methodology aimed to meet key objectives:

- Account for factors other than just poverty such as race and ethnicity, language proficiency, cultural heritage – that impact equitable educational opportunities and outcomes.
- Accounts for factors not addressed through other funding
- Tiering results sufficiently stable across years
- Does not penalize successful gap-closing schools





Budget Work Session

January 19, 2022

Seattle Public Schools is committed to making its online information accessible and usable to all people, regardless of ability or technology. Meeting web accessibility guidelines and standards is an ongoing process that we are consistently working to improve.

While Seattle Public Schools endeavors to only post documents optimized for accessibility, due to the nature and complexity of some documents, an accessible version of the document may not be available. In these limited circumstances, the District will provide equally effective alternate access.

For questions and more information about this document, please contact the following:

JoLynn Berge
Assistant Superintendent of Business & Finance
BudgetOffice@seattleschools.org

Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-23 Budget Development Calendar, Participatory Budget, WSS Committee Recommendations, Continuing FY22-23 Budget Development and Governor's Budget and other legislation.

School Equity Tiers | 2021-22 Final Results

Tier	Count in 2018-19	Count in 2019-20		Count in 2021-22
1	7	9	9	14
2	13	11 12		15
3	22	24	20	12
4	58	58	61	61
Total	100	102	102	102

Net changes from '20-21 to '21-22:

- 24 schools changed Tier
 - 17 schools move up (towards Tier 1)
 - 7 schools move down (towards Tier 4)
- 5 more schools in Tier 1
- 3 more school in Tier 2



School Equity Tiers | 2021-22 Final Results

Schools moving in or out of Tiers 1 & 2 in 2020-21

Change	Schools
Moving up to Tier 1	Broadview-Thomson K-8 School
	Chief Sealth International High School
	Denny International Middle School
	Dunlap Elementary
	Franklin High School
	Mercer International Middle School
	Washington Middle School
Moving up to Tier 2	Cleveland STEM High School
	Garfield High School
	Graham Hill Elementary
	Ingraham International High School
	Meany Middle School
	Nathan Hale High School
	Olympic Hills Elementary
	West Seattle Elementary
	,
Moving down to Tier 2	Interagency
	Martin Luther King Jr. Elementary
	, ,
Moving down to Tier 3	Dearborn Park International School
	Highland Park Elementary
	,

Additional Notes

- 2 schools moved up to Tier 3 from Tier 4: Maple Elementary, Olympic View Elementary
- 3 schools moved down to Tier 4 from Tier 3: Louisa Boren STEM K-8 School, Sand Point Elementary, Sanislo Elementary



School Equity Tiers | 2021-22 Final Results

Tier 1	
School Name	Index 2yr Avg
Rainier Beach High School	9.8
Aki Kurose Middle School	9.7
Seattle World School	9.6
Franklin High School	9.2
Emerson Elementary	9.1
South Shore PK-8 School	9.1
Chief Sealth International High School	9.1
Bailey Gatzert Elementary	8.9
Mercer International Middle School	8.9
Rising Star Elementary	8.9
Denny International Middle School	8.8
Dunlap Elementary	8.6
Broadview-Thomson K-8 School	8.5
Washington Middle School	8.5

Tier 2	
School Name	Index 2yr Avg
Wing Luke Elementary	8.4
Martin Luther King Jr. Elementary	8.2
Garfield High School	8.2
Interagency	8.2
Lowell Elementary	8.2
Meany Middle School	8.2
Olympic Hills Elementary	8.2
Cleveland STEM High School	8.1
West Seattle Elementary	8.1
Concord International School	8.0
John Muir Elementary	8.0
Nathan Hale High School	8.0
Ingraham International High School	7.9
Graham Hill Elementary	7.7
Rainier View Elementary	7.5

Tier 3						
School Name	Index 2yr Avg					
Highland Park Elementary	7.4					
Jane Addams Middle School	7.4					
Beacon Hill International School	7.2					
Northgate Elementary	7.1					
Leschi Elementary	7.0					
Dearborn Park International School	6.9					
Maple Elementary	6.9					
Kimball Elementary	6.8					
John Rogers Elementary	6.7					
Roxhill Elementary	6.7					
Hawthorne Elementary	6.5					
Olympic View Elementary	6.5					

	Tie	er 4	
School Name	Index 2yr Avg	School Name	Inc 2yr
Madrona Elementary	6.4	Lafayette Elementary	
Sanislo Elementary	6.3	McDonald International Elementary	
Orca K-8 School	6.2	Middle College High School	
Eagle Staff Middle School	6.2	Cedar Park Elementary	
Viewlands Elementary	6.1	Gatewood Elementary	
Louisa Boren STEM K-8 School	6.0	Nova High School	
TOPS K-8 School	6.0	Frantz Coe Elementary	
Sand Point Elementary	5.9	Magnolia Elementary	
Thurgood Marshall Elementary	5.8	Green Lake Elementary	
West Seattle High School	5.8	Cascadia Elementary	
John Hay Elementary	5.7	Salmon Bay K-8 School	
Eckstein Middle School	5.6	View Ridge Elementary	
Alan T. Sugiyama High School	5.4	Thornton Creek Elementary	
Hazel Wolf K-8 School	5.1	McGilvra Elementary	
Madison Middle School	5.1	Adams Elementary	
Roosevelt High School	5.1	Catharine Blaine K-8 School	
Laurelhurst Elementary	5.0	Alki Elementary	
McClure Middle School	4.9	The Center School	
Ballard High School	4.8	Loyal Heights Elementary	
Licton Springs K-8 School	4.7	Pathfinder K-8 School	
Fairmount Park Elementary	4.6	Genesee Hill Elementary	
Lincoln High School	4.4	Greenwood Elementary	
B.F. Day Elementary	4.3	Wedgwood Elementary	
John Stanford International School	4.3	Whittier Elementary	
Whitman Middle School	4.3	Bryant Elementary	
Daniel Bagley Elementary	4.2	Lawton Elementary	
Sacajawea Elementary	4.2	North Beach Elementary	
Stevens Elementary	4.1	West Woodland Elementary	
Queen Anne Elementary	4.0	Stephen Decatur Elementary	
Hamilton International Middle School	3.9	Montlake Elementary	
Arbor Heights Elementary	3.8		



3.6 3.5 3.5 3.4 3.3 3.3 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.0 2.5 2.5 2.4 2.4 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.2 2.2 2.1 1.9 1.9

1.6

1.4

Budget Development FY22-23

FY22-23 Potential Solutions

	Estimated Amount		Scenario A		S	Scenario B	
Estimated Gap of \$78m			State Relief			No State Relief	
Ending Fund Balance FY20-21	\$	55,034,483	\$ 55,034,483		\$	55,034,483	
ESSER backfill for School/Program stability from FY20-							
21	\$	10,600,000	\$ 10,600,000		\$	10,600,000	
Enrollment loss	\$ (28,100,000)	\$ (28,100,000)		\$ ((28,100,000)	
Economic Stabilization Fund	\$	39,000,000	\$ 9,750,000	Amount ava	\$	9,750,000	Amount available to maintain 3% minimum
Use Capital Funding	\$	10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000		\$	10,000,000	
Reductions to Central Admin and District Programs	\$	6,000,000	\$ 2,000,000		\$	2,000,000	
Added costs for new priorities/work	\$	(3,000,000)	\$ (5,000,000)		\$	(5,000,000)	
Transportation - return to three tiers	\$	5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000		\$	5,000,000	
Total	\$	94,534,483	\$ 59,284,483		\$	59,284,483	
Balance to find to make \$78m			\$ (18,335,815)		\$ ((18,335,815)	no state relief
Possible Enrollment Funding From Legislature			\$ 28,100,000	State relief			
Add to Reserve for future years			\$ 9,764,185				
Note: These examples are provided for discussion purposes only.							

Adjusted Fund Balance Three Year Outlook – as of Dec 8, 2021

Three Year Outlook		Scenario A				Scenario B	
General Fund Position over three years	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25		2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
Revenues	\$ 1,020,887,401	\$ 1,033,629,045	\$ 1,050,867,193	\$	1,020,887,401	\$ 1,033,629,045	\$ 1,050,867,193
Expenditures	\$ 1,098,507,699	\$ 1,131,462,930	\$ 1,165,406,818	\$	1,098,507,699	\$ 1,131,462,930	\$ 1,165,406,818
Resource Gap	\$ (77,620,298)	\$ (97,833,885)	\$ (114,539,625)	\$	(77,620,298)	\$ (97,833,885)	\$ (114,539,625)
Current Potential Solutions							
General Fund Position over three years	2022-23	 2023-24	2024-25	+.	2022-23	 2023-24	 2024-25
Revenues	\$ 1,020,887,401	\$ 1,033,629,045	\$ 1,050,867,193	\$	1,020,887,401	\$ 1,033,629,045	\$ 1,050,867,193
Expenditures	\$ 1,098,507,699	\$ 1,129,462,930	\$ 1,163,406,818	\$	1,098,507,699	\$ 1,129,462,930	\$ 1,163,406,818
Resource Gap	\$ (77,620,298)	\$ (95,833,885)	\$ (112,539,625)	\$	(77,620,298)	\$ (95,833,885)	\$ (112,539,625)
Solutions/(Enrollment change)							
Ending Fund Balance	\$ 55,034,483	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 20,000,000	\$	55,034,483	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 20,000,000
ESSER backfill for School/Program stability from							
FY20-21	\$ 10,600,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	10,600,000	\$ -	\$ -
FY21-22 Enrollment drop below budget of 2,073							
AAFTE	\$ (28,100,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$	(28,100,000)	\$ -	\$ -
Economic Stabilization Fund - one time	\$ 9,750,000	\$ 39,014,185	\$ -	\$	9,750,000	\$ 29,250,000	\$ -
Capital Funds - one time extended	\$ 10,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	10,000,000	\$ -	\$ -
Total remaining gap	\$ (20,335,815)	\$ (36,819,700)	\$ (92,539,625)	\$	(20,335,815)	\$ (46,583,885)	\$ (92,539,625)
Reduction to District Programs	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -
State Relief	\$ 28,100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Total remaining gap	\$ 9,764,185	\$ (36,819,700)	\$ (92,539,625)	\$	(18,335,815)	\$ (46,583,885)	\$ (92,539,625)

Transportation – Current 2 Tiers

School Type	Tier 1 # of Schools	Tier 2 # of Schools	Total # of Schools
ES	58	5	63
K8	2	8	10
MS	1	11	12
HS	-	15	15
Total	61	39	100



Transportation – 3 Tiers

- Modeling for a 3-Tiered bus system looks to maximize efficiency by evening out the tiers. This will reduce the overall driver need and allow for predictable and on-time service for families.
- Each tier would be approximately 1-hour apart. Exact bell times would need to be determined, but an example would be: 7:15am, 8:15am, and 9:15am for start times.
- Modeling assumed elementary and K-8 schools would be in Tiers 1 & 2 and middle and high schools in Tier 3.

Transportation – 3 Tiers Example

School Type	Tier 1 # of Schools	Tier 2 # of Schools	Tier 3 # of Schools	Total # of Schools
ES	30	32	1	63
K8	1	6	3	10
MS	-	2	10	12
HS	-	-	15	15
Total	31	40	29	100



Transportation - Timeline

- January 2022 Transportation selects recommended vendor
- End of January 2022 Transportation completes model of three-tier system
- Feb/March 2022 School Board approves new transportation contract
- Spring 2022 Final changes in tiers communicated to schools and families



Governor's Budget and Other Legislation

Governor's Proposed Legislative changes (allocated staffing changes) SB5595/HB1985

	FY21-22 District	Prototypical FY21-22	Potential Change in what the State	Total	I-1351
	allocations	funding	funds	w/Governors	Fully Funded
Guidance Counselors	117.7	115.9	49.6	165.5	153.1
Social Workers	_	3.3	21.9	25.2	6.0
Nurses	68.0	8.6	20.9	29.5	75.5
Psychologists (Central)	62.0	1.4	7.1	8.5	8.5
District Other Cert Staff	47.1	-	-	-	-
Totals	294.8	129.2	99.5	228.7	243.1

Note: Numbers are estimates and are based on current district enrollment

Other Legislative Bills in Process

- HB1664 change to nurses in prototypical model; changes to "for allocation purposes only" creates restrictions
- HB1590/SB5563 (companion bills) to hold enrollment harmless at October 2019 numbers and to base school district levies on FY19-20 enrollment for 2023 and 2024 collections instead of actual current enrollment

 HB 1808 and SB5581/to modify transportation funding formula to include reimbursement for high need populations, including special education, foster and students experiencing homelessness



Questions?



www.seattleschools.org | Business & Finance