Middle School Math Textbook Adoption

5/30/17 Minutes

Staff members present: Travis Sims, Seth Bundy, Jenna Veloz, Sara Burke, Hillary Graham, Lynn Rody, Jon Moor, Lisa Kadobayashi, Julie Gatti, Helen Gerety, Wendy Miller, Anita Koyier-Mwamba, Jasmine Riach, Jennifer Brown-Mendoza

Community members present: Felix Darvas, Charity Allen, Colleen Bettis, Frederick Ngobi, Andrew Reder

Adoption coordinators: Anna Box and Audrey Roach

1. Team members announced celebrations in their lives including hikes, trips, and end of SBA testing.

2. The committee reviewed minutes from 5/16

   There was discussion of possibly including in the minutes written evidence of why the three programs did not pass the federally-mandated anti-bias screener (Board Policy 2015). Committee decided to not include written narrative in minutes due to complexity and detail of discussion. A committee member chose to quit the committee after the discussion of the anti-bias screener.

   Other corrections or additions to the minutes:
   - Wendy’s name is in both assessment and teacher tools and it should only be in assessments.
   - Lisa noted that the “P” in CMP is project not program

   Vote to approve the minutes – Using the decision making protocol the vote was taken by secret ballot. Results of secret ballot: 18 yes (approve minutes with the 2 corrections listed), 1 no (disapprove minutes.)

   Result: minutes were approved with the two corrections listed.

10 minute break.

3. Update on extending the community feedback window due to elimination of some programs. Anna was not able to get community feedback form changed to remove three books that had been eliminated or get the window for review extended. She did actively seek the input of historically marginalized groups. 24 people took the survey, 16 filled out paper forms. Most of those paper forms were obtained from Anna’s outreach efforts. Feedback on community feedback should be quite a bit more balanced than original needs assessment. For the summer and fall, Anna has gotten on the agendas of many community centers to do a parent night and play math games with kids and have parents review our books on the short list.

   There was discussion that 40 responses out of thousands of possible responses doesn’t seem statistically significant. While that is very small sample, the people who did respond will want their voices heard.

4. Anna updated the committee on community feedback

   A. The amendment to Meeting 1 Minutes was added to those minutes so as to now include results and comments related to the original Needs Assessment Survey.

   B. The window for community feedback on the textual materials has closed. Results will be compiled and shared before the end of the meeting today. Committee members are to consider how to incorporate these into the decision about which programs will make the “short list.”

5. New Business
A. Affinity groups resumed work on reviews using screener tool.

B. Affinity groups submitted one summary sheet per program.

6. Announcements in preparation for next meeting:

A. Anna reminded the committee that Policy 2015 requires community feedback to be included but does not state how to incorporate it. Anna charged members to think about how to incorporate community feedback.

B. Anna and a team member will tabulate the scores. The committee will review this compilation and make a determination of a short list of programs to be piloted in the fall.

C. The next committee meeting will be a full day long, rather than the proposed ½ day.