# **SCHOOL BOARD ACTION REPORT**



**DATE:** October 13, 2020

**FROM:** Ms. Denise Juneau, Superintendent **LEAD STAFF:** Fred Podesta, Chief Operations Officer

206-252-0102, fhpodesta@seattleschools.org

**For Introduction:** November 18, 2020 **For Action:** December 2, 2020

#### 1. TITLE

BEX V: Resolution 2020/21-12 Racial Imbalance Analysis for Rainier Beach High School Replacement project

## 2. PURPOSE

The purpose of this action is to secure \$8,501,081 in state funding assistance for the Rainier Beach High School Replacement project. The Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) Form D-5 Application requires board acceptance of the resolution certifying that the proposed project will not create or aggravate racial imbalance.

#### 3. RECOMMENDED MOTION

I move that the School Board approve Resolution 2020/21-12 certifying that the proposed Rainier Beach High School Replacement project will not create or aggravate racial imbalance as defined by WAC 392-342-025.

## 4. <u>BACKGROUND INFORMATION</u>

#### a. Background

The Capital Projects and Planning Department, working collaboratively with Enrollment Planning, has recommended that Rainier Beach High School be demolished and replaced due to poor building conditions outlined in the 2014 Facility Assessment Report by Meng Analysis and the 2019 Building Condition Assessment Update by McKinstry. The Building Excellence (BEX) V Capital Levy proposed the replacement of Rainier Beach High School with a 1,600-student, multi-story school facility of approximately 233,700 square feet, with options to retain or replace the existing 19,300 square feet theater. Approximately 800 students will remain on-site during construction. Construction is anticipated to begin summer 2022, be multi-phased and substantially complete by spring 2025.

OSPI is responsible for administering the School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP), which is OSPI's largest capital program and provides funding assistance for facility planning, new construction, and modernizations. Based on OSPI's work, the legislature makes biennial appropriations to release state funds for school construction assistance through the D-Form approval process, which includes the primary documents

that form the basis of any agreements between OSPI and the school districts receiving state funding assistance.

The OSPI Form D-5 requires a School Board Resolution to certify under WAC 392-342-025 that a SCAP funded project will not create or aggravate racial imbalance. If this resolution is not approved in a timely manner, state funding assistance for this project will not be forthcoming. SCAP funding for Rainier Beach High School project is estimated to be \$8,501,081.

An evaluation was performed using enrollment data from the 2012 through the 2019 school years to certify under WAC 392-342-025 that the Rainier Beach High School project will not create or aggravate racial imbalance.

WAC 392-342-025's definition of racial imbalance in a greater than 50 percent minority, non-multiracial school district, such as Seattle Public Schools, shall be defined (by current federal categories) as existing when:

**Part (a):** When the combined minority enrollment of a school varies from the districtwide combined minority percentage by more than plus or minus 25 percentage points:

**Part (b):** When a school's enrollment of a single minority group with a districtwide enrollment of less than 30 percent exceeds 50 percent:

**Part (c):** When a school's enrollment of a single minority group with a districtwide enrollment of 30 percent or more exceeds the minority group's districtwide percentage by 20 percentage points or more:

Rainier Beach High School Part (a) analysis: Rainier Beach High School was shown to be racially imbalanced as defined by WAC 392-342-025 (a) in eight of the eight years studied with no discernable trend to either a racially balanced or imbalanced student body.

Rainier Beach High School Part (b) analysis: Rainier Beach High School was shown to be racially imbalanced as defined by WAC 392-342-025 (b) in four of the eight years studied with the data showing a slight trend toward a more racially balanced student body.

Rainier Beach High School Part (c) analysis Rainier Beach High School was shown to be racially imbalanced as defined by WAC 392-342-025 (c) in none of the eight years studied.

Conclusion: Since the school boundaries will not be impacted by the construction of the new facility, it is anticipated that the makeup of the student body will remain the same. Therefore, the project meets the requirements outlined by WAC 392-342-025 parts (a-c) in a greater than 50 percent minority, non-multiracial school district by not aggravating an existing racial imbalance.

#### b. Alternatives

Do not approve Resolution 2020/21-12. This is not recommended. If Resolution 2020/21-12 is not approved, the D-5 form cannot be submitted to OSPI, and the district will not receive state funding assistance.

#### c. Research

- WAC 392-342-025 Racial Imbalance Prohibition Definition and acceptance criteria
- Enrollment Data specific to the evaluation of WAC 392-342-025 Racial Imbalance Prohibition
- 2014 Building Condition and Educational Adequacy Assessment Report Meng Analysis
- 2019 Seattle Public Schools Building Condition Assessment Update McKinstry

# 5. FISCAL IMPACT/REVENUE SOURCE

The Rainier Beach High School project funding source is from the BEX V Capital Levy and anticipated state assistance funding.

If this resolution is not approved in a timely manner, the state funding assistance for Rainier Beach High School project will not be forthcoming. This resolution is a requirement of the state D-5 application for assistance. Approximately \$8 million in state assistance funding is anticipated for new-in-lieu construction.

Expenditure:	☐ Multi-Year ⊠ N/A
Revenue:	☐ Multi-Year ☐ N/A
6. <u>COMMUNITY ENGAGEMEN</u>	<u>NT</u>
With guidance from the district's Commerit the following tier of community en	nunity Engagement tool, this action was determined to ngagement:
☐ Not applicable	
Tier 1: Inform	
☑ Tier 2: Consult/Involve	
Tier 3: Collaborate	

The selection of projects in the BEX V program went through an extensive community vetting process and ultimately received 73% approval from voters in February 2019.

## 7. **EQUITY ANALYSIS**

The district's Racial Equity Analysis toolkit was utilized to guide the planning process for the BEX V Capital Levy, influencing community engagement methods, preparation of the 2018 update to the Facilities Master Plan, and ultimately the final proposed levy package. The board's guiding principles stated that racial and educational equity should be an overarching principle for the BEX V Capital Levy planning efforts in accordance with Board Policy 0030, Ensuring Educational and Racial Equity. Projects identified for inclusion in the BEX V Capital Levy will ultimately improve conditions for all students in the affected schools. Improved building conditions create a better environment for learning and can provide facilities to better position students for academic success.

#### 8. STUDENT BENEFIT

It is the goal of the district to continue the process of implementing the BTA and BEX Capital Levy programs and providing students with safe and secure school buildings.

# 9. WHY BOARD ACTION IS NECESSARY

Amount of contract initial value or contract amendment exceeds \$250,000 (Policy No. 6220)
Amount of grant exceeds \$250,000 in a single fiscal year (Policy No. 6114)
Adopting, amending, or repealing a Board policy
Formally accepting the completion of a public works project and closing out the contract
Legal requirement for the School Board to take action on this matter
Board Policy No, [TITLE], provides the Board shall approve this item
Other: A Board Resolution is required by OSPI as a part of the state funding assistance process to certify under WAC 392-342-025 that the project will not create or aggravate racial imbalance

#### 10. POLICY IMPLICATION

This action aligns with Board Policy No. 6100, Revenues from Local, State, and Federal Sources, which states: "It is the policy of the Seattle School Board to pursue systematically those funding opportunities that are consistent with district priorities from federal, state, and other governmental units, as well as from private and foundation sources." In addition, it states: "The Board agrees to comply with all federal and state requirements that may be a condition for the receipt of federal or state funds."

## 11. BOARD COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

This motion was discussed at the Operations Committee meeting on November 5, 2020. The Committee reviewed the motion and moved the item forward with a recommendation for approval by the full board.

## 12. <u>TIMELINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION</u>

Upon approval, this resolution will be transmitted to the state to continue through the funding approval process. The new school is anticipated to open in the 2025 school year.

# 13. <u>ATTACHMENTS</u>

- Resolution 2020/21-12 (for approval)
- Racial Imbalance Analysis (for reference)

# Seattle School District #1 Board Resolution



#### **Resolution No. 2020/21-12**

**A RESOLUTION** of the Board of Directors of Seattle School District No. 1, King County, Seattle, Washington certifying that the Rainier Beach High School Replacement project named in the project application (Form D-5) submitted to the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction will not create or aggravate racial imbalance within the District boundaries.

WHEREAS, it has been determined that racial imbalance, as defined by WAC 392-342-025, does not currently exist in the catchment area of the Rainier Beach enrollment area; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed project at Rainier Beach High School will not create or aggravate a racial imbalance in student enrollment in the Rainier Beach enrollment area as defined by WAC 392-342-025;

#### NOW THEREFORE, BE IT

ADOPTED this 2nd day of Documber 2020

**RESOLVED,** Seattle School Board of Directors certifies that the Rainier Beach High School Replacement project will not create or aggravate racial imbalance within the District boundaries as defined for greater than fifty percent minority, non-multiracial school districts in WAC 392-342-025.

**RESOLVED**, that duly certified copies of this resolution shall be presented to the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction.

ADOI TED this 2 day of December, 202	O .
Zachary DeWolf, President	Chandra N. Hampson, Vice President
Leslie Harris, Member-at-Large	Brandon K. Hersey
Eden Mack	Liza Rankin
	ATTEST:
Lisa Rivera-Smith	Denise Juneau, Superintendent
	Secretary, Board of Directors
	Seattle School District No. 1
	King County, WA



# Racial Imbalance Analysis

# Rainier Beach High School

Seattle Public Schools is committed to making its online information accessible and usable to all people, regardless of ability or technology. Meeting web accessibility guidelines and standards is an ongoing process that we are consistently working to improve.

While Seattle Public Schools endeavors to only post documents optimized for accessibility, due to the nature and complexity of some documents, an accessible version of the document may not be available. In these limited circumstances, the District will provide equally effective alternate access.

For questions and more information about this document, please contact the following:

Capital Projects ziyang@seattleschools.org

Racial Imbalance Analysis for Rainier Beach High School.

# Racial Imbalance Analysis for Rainier Beach High School (no boundary change proposed at this time)

2019

43.79%

26.64%

16.88%

0.84%

6.83%

School Year	<b>District</b> Students	African American/ Black	Asian	Hispanic/ Latino	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Multi-Racial	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	Percent POC	Percent White			
2012	49,864	8,822	8,782	6,266	505	3,293	262	21,934	>50% POC, no	onmultiracial			
2012		17.69%	17.61%	12.57%	1.01%	6.60%	0.53%	43.99%	56.01%	43.99%			
2013	51,010	8,737	8,529	6,512	420	3,790	268	22,753	>50% POC, no	onmultiracial			
2013		17.13%	16.72%	12.77%	0.82%	7.43%	0.53%	44.60%	55.39%	44.60%			
2014	51,988	8,530	8,254	6,494	377	4,366	248	23,690	>50% POC, no	onmultiracial			
2014		16.41%	15.88%	12.49%	0.73%	8.40%	0.48%	45.57%	54.38%	45.57%			
2015	52,324	8,216	7,915	6,419	337	4,822	247	24,368	>50% POC, no	onmultiracial			
2015		15.70%	15.13%	12.27%	0.64%	9.22%	0.47%	46.57%	53.43%	46.57%			
2016	53,102	8,184	7,734	6,493	331	5,341	205	24,814	>50% POC, no	onmultiracial			
2016		15.41%	14.56%	12.23%	0.62%	10.06%	0.39%	46.73%	53.27%	46.73%			
2017	53,380	8,002	7,458	6,435	293	5,729	244	25,219	>50% POC, no	onmultiracial			
2017		14.99%	13.97%	12.06%	0.55%	10.73%	0.46%	47.24%	52.76%	47.24%			
2018	52,931	7,519	7,193	6,490	269	6,183	223	25,054	>50% POC, no	onmultiracial			
2018		14.21%	13.59%	12.26%	0.51%	11.68%	0.42%	47.33%	52.67%	47.33%			
2019	53,627	7,501	6,864	6,884	243	6,857	217	25,061	>50% POC, no	onmultiracial			
2019		13.99%	12.80%	12.84%	0.45%	12.79%	0.40%	46.73%	53.3%	46.7%			
											>50% POC, n	onmultiracial WAC	definitions
School Year	<b>School</b> Students	African American/ Black	Asian	Hispanic/ Latino	American Indian/	Multi-Racial	Native Hawaiian/	White	Percent POC	Percent White	a) Combined School POC Exceeds	b) Single District POC Group of	c) Single District POC Group of >30%, Exceeds
					Alaska Native		Pacific Islander				Combined District POC by 25%	<30%, Exceeds 50% at School	POC Group by 20% at School
2012	407	211	82	56	Alaska Native	17	Pacific Islander	19	Racial Im	balance			POC Group by
2012 2012		211 51.84%	82 20.15%	56 13.76%		17 4.18%			Racial Im 95.33%	ibalance 4.67%	POC by 25%	50% at School	POC Group by 20% at School
					4		18	19		4.67%	POC by 25% Yes	50% at School Yes	POC Group by 20% at School No
2012	407	51.84%	20.15%	13.76%	4 0.98%	4.18%	18 4.42%	19 4.67%	95.33%	4.67%	<b>POC by 25%</b> Yes 39.32%	50% at School  Yes 1	POC Group by 20% at School No 0
2012 2013	407	51.84% 254	20.15% 123	13.76% 61	4 0.98% 3	4.18% 14	18 4.42% 18	19 4.67% 11	95.33% Racial Im	4.67% abalance 2.27%	POC by 25%  Yes  39.32%  Yes	Yes 1 Yes	POC Group by 20% at School No 0 No
2012 2013 2013	407 484	51.84% 254 52.48%	20.15% 123 25.41%	13.76% 61 12.60%	4 0.98% 3 0.62%	4.18% 14 2.89%	18 4.42% 18 3.72%	19 4.67% 11 2.27%	95.33% Racial Im 97.73%	4.67% abalance 2.27%	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33%	Yes 1 Yes 1	POC Group by 20% at School No 0 No 0
2012 2013 2013 2014	407 484	51.84% 254 52.48% 307	20.15% 123 25.41% 151	13.76% 61 12.60% 80	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5	4.18% 14 2.89% 22	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83% Racial Im	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes	POC Group by 20% at School No 0 No No No 0
2012 2013 2013 2014 2014	407 484 600	51.84% 254 52.48% 307 51.17%	20.15% 123 25.41% 151 25.17%	13.76% 61 12.60% 80 13.33%	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5 0.83%	4.18% 14 2.89% 22 3.67%	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16 2.67%	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18 3.00%	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83%	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes 42.46%	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes	POC Group by 20% at School No 0 No 0 No 0 O
2012 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015	407 484 600	51.84% 254 52.48% 307 51.17% 321	20.15% 123 25.41% 151 25.17% 171	13.76% 61 12.60% 80 13.33% 91	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5 0.83% 4	4.18% 14 2.89% 22 3.67% 29	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16 2.67%	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18 3.00% 24	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83% Racial Im	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance 3.66%	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes 42.46% Yes	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 No	POC Group by 20% at School No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No
2012 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015	407 484 600 655	51.84% 254 52.48% 307 51.17% 321 49.01%	20.15% 123 25.41% 151 25.17% 171 26.11%	13.76% 61 12.60% 80 13.33% 91 13.89%	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5 0.83% 4 0.61%	4.18% 14 2.89% 22 3.67% 29 4.43%	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16 2.67% 15 2.29%	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18 3.00% 24 3.66%	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83% Racial Im 96.34% Racial Im 96.76%	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance 3.66% abalance 3.24%	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes 42.46% Yes 42.91%	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 No 0	POC Group by 20% at School  No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No
2012 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016	407 484 600 655	51.84% 254 52.48% 307 51.17% 321 49.01% 344	20.15% 123 25.41% 151 25.17% 171 26.11% 182	13.76% 61 12.60% 80 13.33% 91 13.89% 94	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5 0.83% 4 0.61% 2	4.18% 14 2.89% 22 3.67% 29 4.43% 27	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16 2.67% 15 2.29% 9	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18 3.00% 24 3.66% 22	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83% Racial Im 96.34% Racial Im	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance 3.66% abalance 3.24%	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes 42.46% Yes 42.91% Yes	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 0 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes	POC Group by 20% at School  No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No 0 No
2012 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2016	407 484 600 655 680	51.84% 254 52.48% 307 51.17% 321 49.01% 344 50.59%	20.15% 123 25.41% 151 25.17% 171 26.11% 182 26.76%	13.76% 61 12.60% 80 13.33% 91 13.89% 94	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5 0.83% 4 0.61% 2 0.29%	4.18% 14 2.89% 22 3.67% 29 4.43% 27 3.97%	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16 2.67% 15 2.29% 9 1.32%	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18 3.00% 24 3.66% 22 3.24%	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83% Racial Im 96.34% Racial Im 96.76% Racial Im 96.67%	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance 3.66% abalance 3.24% abalance 3.33%	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes 42.46% Yes 42.91% Yes 43.49%	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 0 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 No 0 Yes 1	POC Group by 20% at School No 0
2012 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2016 2017	407 484 600 655 680	51.84% 254 52.48% 307 51.17% 321 49.01% 344 50.59% 355	20.15% 123 25.41% 151 25.17% 171 26.11% 182 26.76% 180	13.76% 61 12.60% 80 13.33% 91 13.89% 94 13.82%	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5 0.83% 4 0.61% 2 0.29% 3	4.18% 14 2.89% 22 3.67% 29 4.43% 27 3.97% 40	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16 2.67% 15 2.29% 9 1.32% 17	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18 3.00% 24 3.66% 22 3.24% 24	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83% Racial Im 96.34% Racial Im 96.76% Racial Im	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance 3.66% abalance 3.24% abalance 3.33%	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes 42.46% Yes 42.91% Yes 43.49% Yes	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 No 0 Yes 1 No	POC Group by 20% at School  No 0
2012 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2016 2017 2017 2017 2018 2018	407 484 600 655 680 721 740	51.84% 254 52.48% 307 51.17% 321 49.01% 344 50.59% 355 49.24% 337 45.54%	20.15% 123 25.41% 151 25.17% 171 26.11% 182 26.76% 180 24.97% 196 26.49%	13.76% 61 12.60% 80 13.33% 91 13.89% 94 13.82% 102 14.15% 107 14.46%	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5 0.83% 4 0.61% 2 0.29% 3 0.42% 3	4.18% 14 2.89% 22 3.67% 29 4.43% 27 3.97% 40 5.55% 64 8.65%	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16 2.67% 15 2.29% 9 1.32% 17 2.36% 15 2.03%	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18 3.00% 24 3.66% 22 3.24% 24 3.33% 18 2.43%	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83% Racial Im 96.34% Racial Im 96.76% Racial Im 96.67% Racial Im 97.57%	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance 3.66% abalance 3.24% abalance 3.33% abalance 2.43%	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes 42.46% Yes 42.91% Yes 43.49% Yes 43.92%	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 No 0 Yes 1 No 0	POC Group by 20% at School  No 0
2012 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2016 2017 2017 2018	407 484 600 655 680 721	51.84% 254 52.48% 307 51.17% 321 49.01% 344 50.59% 355 49.24% 337	20.15% 123 25.41% 151 25.17% 171 26.11% 182 26.76% 180 24.97% 196	13.76% 61 12.60% 80 13.33% 91 13.89% 94 13.82% 102 14.15% 107	4 0.98% 3 0.62% 5 0.83% 4 0.61% 2 0.29% 3 0.42%	4.18% 14 2.89% 22 3.67% 29 4.43% 27 3.97% 40 5.55% 64	18 4.42% 18 3.72% 16 2.67% 15 2.29% 9 1.32% 17 2.36% 15	19 4.67% 11 2.27% 18 3.00% 24 3.66% 22 3.24% 24 3.33% 18	95.33% Racial Im 97.73% Racial Im 96.83% Racial Im 96.34% Racial Im 96.76% Racial Im 96.67% Racial Im	4.67% abalance 2.27% abalance 3.00% abalance 3.66% abalance 3.24% abalance 3.33% abalance 2.43%	Yes 39.32% Yes 42.33% Yes 42.46% Yes 42.91% Yes 43.49% Yes 43.92% Yes	Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 Yes 1 No 0 Yes 1 No 0 Yes 1 No	POC Group by 20% at School  No 0

Prepared by: Enrollment Planning /era

2.23%

2.79%

97.21%

2.79%

43.94%

0

0