<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Any separated areas?</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Much of the area slated for Alki (on the eastern boundary line) is at the top of a large hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Geographic barriers- enormous hill Genesee Hill neighborhood cannot walk to and from Alki Elementary, D6 is obstructed by a very steep hill and unsafe arterial crossing from connecting to Alki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sections D6 and E6 are separated from the Alki Elementary by a very steep hill that is a natural barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sections D6 and E6 are separated from the Alki Elementary by a very steep hill that is a natural barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sections D6 and E6 are separated from the Alki Elementary by a very steep hill that is a natural barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Name</td>
<td>Boundary Action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300 ft elevation gain between school and 49th Ave SW, arterial access only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safer walk/drive to alternate school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genesee Hill neighborhood cannot walk to and from Alki Elementary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We live the equivalent of 30 blocks above Alki Elementary, including dangerous arterials. It would be much safer and easier to walk to LaFayette or Schmitz Park. It is totally impractical to be assigned to Alki. That school probably should have been closed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alki Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No one will walk from downtown. I-5 and distance are barriers. S.Jackson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bailey Gatzert Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>McClellan is a major arterial. Moving those areas between 17th and 21st and McClellan and College to the Kimball will require a crossing guard for the safety of the kids. For those few blocks, it doesn't seem worth it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beacon Hill International</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, BHIS's boundaries should extend to Rainier. Not arbitrarily to 19th Ave S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Name</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beacon Hill International Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

McClellan is a major dividing street between "North" Beacon Hill and "Mid" Beacon Hill. The street is a major arterial connecting Rainier Valley and SODO. The proposed boundary would force families in zone 5 north of McClellan to cross this major street on foot to get to Kimball. The commercial hub of Red Apple and the Light Rail station (both North of McClellan) are primary local features that clearly divide and define North Beacon Hill. Zone 5 is North of McClellan and is part of this North Beacon Hill neighborhood. The boundary should remain at McClellan to keep this consistency and not force children to cross this dangerous street to get to Kimball.

McClellan is a clear dividing line between north and central beacon hill. It is also one of the busiest streets in the area and small kids should not be expected to cross it on the way to and from school. Both in the mornings and afternoons thousands of cars use this major arterial to get down to Rainier and to Sodo. This along with the commercial hub on Beacon Ave S that includes the Red Apple and Light Rail station provide a clear boundary between the Beacon Hill International area and the Kimball area. We should not expect our kids to have to cross over McClellan during busy traffic times and head South when they are very clearly part of the North Beacon community.
GH 4-5 requires kids that should go to Kimball or BHIS cross Rainier Ave to go to Thurgood Marshall. Very bad idea to make small kids cross such a massively busy dangerous street. FG 5 notches out a small square from BHIS to send several square blocks to Kimball instead. Both confusing and sort of insulting. CDE 3456 industrial area makes the BHIS zone seem huge when in fact it is tiny because hardly anyone lives in the industrial area.

Beacon Hill International Elementary Yes

Pacific Medical Center is a recognized boundary for our neighborhood. It doesn't make sense for the school area to extend north of Golf Dr. The proposed BHIS area carves out several small areas that are very much parts of N Beacon Hill. 6E, 6F & 6G.

Beacon Hill International Elementary Yes

Beacon Hill International Elementary Yes

The southern boundary line on 116th is just north of the natural geographic boundary of 115th

Beacon Hill International Elementary Yes

The border immediately west of 3rd ave should follow the natural topography of 115 TH and NOT use 117 TH/116 TH. You are cutting off dead end streets -4 TH Ave NW and 6 TH Ave NW.

Broadview-Thomson K-8 No

The new proposed SW boundary of the Broadview Thomson area no longer uses the natural boundary of the ravine west of NW 115th St. to create a reasonable breaking point. It now forces several portions of the streets north of the ravine into the Viewlands area. This should be reconsidered.

Broadview-Thomson K-8 Yes
the southern boundary has shifted north and does not take into account the natural ravine that exists at the end of 6th Ave NW—which is the current southern boundary. Instead, the new boundary divides a cohesive, close knit neighborhood artificially by using 116th as the boundary, west of 3rd Ave NW.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Survey Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadview-Thomson K-8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geographic barriers make sense; geographic area has continuity and makes logical and social sense.

The Bryant reference area extends too far west, beyond the obvious geographic boundary of 15th Ave NE -- a major arterial and boundary to a different local neighborhood (Roosevelt/Greenlake). Meanwhile, families in the Bryant neighborhood, e.g. between NE 68th and NE 70th, are not included in Bryant, their neighborhood school.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Survey Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25th Avenue is an extremely busy road, and seems to provide a natural boundary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Survey Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

North border should extend to NE 75th
Where would they put the proposed 8 portables? I know people have said this before, but driving around and looking at Google Earth begs the question again. I raise this point also as a boundary issue as SPS is expecting between 436 and 441 kids on this campus. Is there an agreement that SPS and Cedar Park could use the adjacent park for recess? This used to be part of SPS until they sold it to the City. The park looks really nice and well maintained with relatively up-to-date equipment. It also looks like the City is updating/has updated the park. (From the City of Seattle website.)

25th Ave NE is not a major arterial in this part of town. 25th is an arterial further south.

Attendance area includes one state highway (Lake City way) and 2 very busy streets (NE 125th St. and 30th Ave. NE)

Portions along southern boundary are cut off, due to those areas being south of NE 125th Street (a busy arterial, with limited cross walks). These areas include the areas south of NE 125th and between: 35th Ave NE and Sand Point Way; Lake City Way and 35th Ave NE; Hiram Pl. NE and Lake City Way. It should be noted that Hiram Place NE is not a through street.

The south boundary should be 125th. It is a very busy street. The cross streets don't line up north and south of it and there aren't any crosswalks except at 35th, and there is a major blind curve from Sand Point Way at 40th Ave NE.

Unsafe to cross SR 509
Geographic Barriers: I-5, no direct streets (they're all jig-joggy), we'd have to go through the 5-way intersection of doom outside Starbucks and Green Lake where everyone and their dog crosses AND the giant PCC and 300 apartments that just went in.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Walk the Boundaries</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Bagley Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dearborn Park Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dearborn Park Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dearborn Park Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunlap Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Low Test Scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Low Test Scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmount Park</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatewood Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This is much more reasonable than the existing area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Hill Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Lake Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>What are the geozones for JSIS and McDonald?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Lake Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Lake Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This boundary seems wrong to be on 16th ave NE, it has to be 15th ave NE...16th is just not logical. Please advise?!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This question is grammatically incorrect-- it doesn't make sense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Lake Elementary</td>
<td></td>
<td>No separations, but a more logical western boundary for Greenwood is 8th Ave NW rather than 6th Ave NW. 8th is a major arterial with few stops. Crossing is scary to me, an adult. 6th Ave NW is much quieter and has more traffic calming devices. It is safer for children between 6th Ave NW and 8th Ave NW to walk east, crossing 6th, to Greenwood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwood Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwood Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawtthorne Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>This is the kookiest boundary in the entire district, zigzagging thru the neighborhood with no rhyme or reason except to lessen the richer population and increase the proportion of the poorer population at Hawthorne. It is one thing to lessen the neighborhood capacity (which I 100% stand against) but even more damaging to segregate the poorer population into one program while increasing diversity at another. This boundary makes no sense whereas the original one followed natural neighborhood delineations. The current one actually bifurcated a neighborhood and destroys the existing community which is very strong at this school. Trash this boundary proposal!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hawthorne's boundary has been changed to Aki instead of Mercer for middle school, yet our children will still have to cross MLK, and most will still need to be bussed b/c Aki's location stipulates that kids living 1 mile away need to be bussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This is the Lakewood community. However, the Lakewood Playground and the Lakewood Community Center are both outside of the Hawthorne boundaries. The Lakewood community has traditionally been assigned to Hawthorne. However, the new boundaries split the neighborhood in half.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary School</th>
<th>Walkability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Rogers Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimball Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimball Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimball Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimball Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimball Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimball Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Walk the Boundaries Survey Results**

This is the Lakewood community. However, the Lakewood Playground and the Lakewood Community Center are both outside of the Hawthorne boundaries. The Lakewood community has traditionally been assigned to Hawthorne. However, the new boundaries split the neighborhood in half.

**John Rogers Elementary**

**Kimball Elementary**

Areas of isolation at northern and southern JR borders.

**Kimball Elementary**

Rainier is a natural boundary. 21st Ave S is not. The Eastern boundary should extend consistently along both sides of McClellan.

**Kimball Elementary**

McClellan is pretty dangerous in the mornings for young kids to cross.

**Kimball Elementary**

McClellan is NOT a safe street for young children to cross when walking to school.
Columbian Way forms a very strong and unsafe barrier to students crossing to the north to get to Kimball.

Kimball Elementary  Yes  

In the Beacon Hill neighborhood there is a clear landmark/boundary that resides along McClellan St. The propose change goes past this boundary and will split the community of North Beacon Hill. When looking at walkability and natural, clear boundaries it is clear that Kimball students should be from the area south of McClellan. This is both for safety and to help build and strengthen community.

Kimball Elementary  Yes
McClellan is a major dividing street between "North" Beacon Hill and "Mid" Beacon Hill. Kimball is situated clearly in the "Mid" Beacon Hill neighborhood which is located South of McClellan. Families residing North of McClellan should not be forced to cross this busy street which is a major arterial connecting Rainier Valley and SODO/downtown. Kimball families have always resided in South of McClellan and are situated around the local feature of Jefferson Park and the Golf Course. Anything north of McClellan is clearly far from this local feature.

Lafayette Elementary Yes
Lafayette Elementary Yes
Laurelhurst Elementary No

The green belt on the north end of 35th serves as a natural divider between Schmitz Park and Lafayette as the map is currently proposed a more arbitrary line has been drawn one block south on Hinds Ave SW.

We are new to the area and were surprised to see how Lafayette's district was drawn. The most obvious geographical barrier is that the district overs both sides of the West Seattle Bridge, meaning students miles away on the other side of the bridge would be bused into Lafayette. The new Fairmount Park school would be a strong school and a natural neighborhood destination.

Ravenna Blvd is an arterial but there is an actual ravenna or ravine just a block above the blvd.

15th Ave NE should be the western boundary

Leschi Elementary Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leschi Elementary</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed boundary line west of MLK is separated by Dearborn. The boundary line should be Dearborn, not Jackson.
The south boundary line west of MLK should be Dearborn (NOT Jackson)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Suggestion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dearborn Street is a geographic barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leschi Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dearborn Street is a geographic barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowell Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Madison, John 12th and 15th Avenues, the hill from 15th to Broadway, 12th is a killer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The southern-most portion of the eastern boundary (between Madison &amp; Cherry) appears to divide a cohesive residential neighborhood in half. Why is the boundary not 23rd instead of 19th or 14th, assigning all students east of 14th to Madrona? Also 14th seems arbitrary on the north-eastern side. Why not 15th which is a main arterial street? Also the boundary seems to encompass a huge area. Have you considered long term growth in the area, especially downtown? It seems like another short term fix that will result in another boundary change four years from now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loyal Heights Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>for those who live north of 85th street, this is a huge barrier. also, 24th ave is a big barrier. it seems these lines should separate loyal heights from north beach. also, this wouldn't split olympic manor in half, which doesn't make much sense for the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loyal Heights Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NW 85th Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Loyal Heights Elementary  Yes

Yes, the area north of N.W. 85th St. and East of 24th Ave. N.W. These are main arterials, and would require our children to cross when walking/biking to school.

Loyal Heights Elementary  Yes

There are very few ways to safely cross 85th for children north of 85th, which is an exceedingly busy arterial with speeding traffic. Children from 16th through 22nd will have to cross a very busy and unsafe street.

Loyal Heights Elementary  Yes

neighbor told be to check out this proposed change and I think it is a bad idea.

Loyal Heights Elementary  Yes

I heard at my book club about these changes. I've lived in this area for a long time and I do not see that these changes make much sense.

Loyal Heights Elementary  Yes

85th St.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loyal Heights Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This affects the whole area. I found out about this from a neighbor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The boundary divides the established community of Olympic Manor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>You are adding kids to Loyal Heights that can currently safely walk to North Beach, but could not safely walk to Loyal Heights thus increasing transportation costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Just found out about this. I live in this area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All of the children of Olympic Manor should attend North Beach, just like my children did 32 years ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrona K-8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>had to walk passed drug dealing, got propositioned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Walk the Boundaries Survey Results

Madrona K-8 | Yes | 23rd and MLK represent major intersections that make pedestrian routes difficult to navigate, especially for younger children. As well, the area's northern boundary rests in the middle of a neighborhood, thus segmenting neighbors into different schools base on a somewhat arbitray dividing line..

Madrona K-8 | Yes | Major arterials must be crossed by a section of the boundary in order to reach the school.

Madrona K-8 | Yes | 23rd Avenue, splitting a neighborhood of children in half. Splitting Squire Park Community Council in half. Too far to walk from 19th. TT Minor is right there.

Madrona K-8 | Yes | Kids from area 42 would need to cross 23rd Ave as well as MLK--both big, both dangerous. It is also in a completely different neighborhood. If families chose to walk up Union, they would be on a busy arterial the entire way. If they chose to walk up Spring, they would be going by the locations of several recent drive-by shootings (see the CD news. There has been a lot of gunfire on Spring between 25th and 28th).

Madrona K-8 | Yes | the new boundaries carve out a section of north beacon hill (homes north of Spokane), sending kids from that area to an our that feels much farther from their home neighborhood and the community where they walk to shop, eat, and play.

Maple Elementary | Yes | I walked both the Kimball and Maple areas.

Maple Elementary | Yes
The new boundaries carve out a section of north beacon hill (homes north of spokane) and send kids from this area to a school that is outside of the neighborhood where they live, walk, play and shop. Spokane is a geographic barrier (a very busy four lane road that merges with another very busy four lane road (Columbia Way) and leads to a very busy I-5 on and off ramp. The new boundaries take a group of families from north of Spokane on Beacon Hill and send them to a school that is almost 2 miles away (1.9 miles for our family) instead of two schools that are half a mile from their homes (Beacon Hill International and Kimball).

area north of Spokane street -- especially those west of 15th would have to go to take a route with no side walks and through an intersection that is really not pedestrian friendly (spokane and columbia way -- right near the I-5 on/off ramp from Beacon Hill -- a TON of traffic there, and cars going from Spokane to the I-5 on ramp turn right nearly non stop. Houses north of Spokane and West of 15th would be much better served by schools north of 15th like BHI or Kimball -- both of which are way closer to these families than Maple.

Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly onto i5

You can't walk to Van Asselt from Georgetown. A bus would take twice as long to travel from Georgetown to Van Asselt. This is not closer. You are going to destroy what little walkable neighborhood we have left. This is a social economic issue that is causing you to move Georgetown children.
The four lane arterials Spokane St. and Columbian Way are major obstacles to students cross from the new sections of Maple proposed for north Beacon Hill.

We live on North Beacon hill, within .6 miles of Kimball, which is where our son would currently go to school. We are now being reassigned to Maple, which is 1.2 miles away. To get to Maple, he would have to cross 4 lanes of Spokane AND at least 3 lanes of traffic on 15th or Columbian. These are all major arterials that separate our neighborhood from what lies south of it. Beacon Hill park and the VA also separate us from the neighborhoods to the south. The new proposed boundaries for Maple include people from our neighborhood as well as Georgetown so our son could not get to many friends’ houses without crossing I-5 somehow.

busy arterials with poor pedestrian crossing, steep hills, a busy freeway on ramp
### Walk the Boundaries Survey Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Area north is Spokane street is cutoff by high traffic Spokane Street and additionally by 15th Ave. Distance, traffic safety hazards, and elevation change around alternate routes are all significant barriers. Spokane street is a very busy arterial leading directly to I-5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>S Spokane St is the I5 onramp arterial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local feature barrier concern: For children living at the north end of the attendance area boundary, children will be forced to cross several major arterials. Closer schools are present (Beacon Intl, Kimball) that would not require crossing the arterial with heaviest traffic (Columbian Way), including freeway on/off ramp traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unsafe street crossings at Spokane and again at Columbian Way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The new boundaries carve out a section of north beacon hill (homes north of Spokane) and send kids from this area to a school that is outside of the neighborhood where they live, walk, play and shop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spokane is a geographic barrier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGilvra Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGilvra Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
McGivra Elementary  Yes  The Arboretum, Lake Washington Blvd, E. Madison were the major issues. There are not great solutions to some of the other features that are problematic. I gave feedback earlier on changes to aid the situation.

North Beach Elementary  No  The new boundaries to the south - exclude kids right across the street. Why are these boundaries drawn without thought as to neighborhood proximity? Why does NBE sit in the extreme SW corner of its boundaries?

North Beach Elementary  No  No geographic barriers but you are destroying community by making a district that does not include the families across the street from the school! At a time when our school budgets are shrinking and our families are asked to step up financially and with volunteer hours to maintain things such as art, music, even tutoring in our elementaries - to take away the community aspect a send people who live right by the neighborhood school down the road seems preposterous. Where were these projected growth issues when the BEX levy came around? Could you not then "project" that you would have to, less than a year later, start cutting up North Beach Elementary? First closures that are reopened at great cost 5 years later and now districting that makes sense, perhaps numberswise but not at all for the communities. What a sad state our district is in.

North Beach Elementary  Yes  Holman road
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dividing Olympic Manor in half, as well as excluding West Crown Hill between 85th St and 90th St (north to south) and 15th Ave and 20th Ave (east to west) from North Beach would burden these communities and is assimilated to the local culture of North Beach, rather than Loyal Heights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The proposed southern boundary (5C &amp; 5CD) cuts directly through Olympic Manor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The Olympic Manor neighborhood would be separated with the proposed boundary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The boundary separates the community Olympic Manor and it should not. All OM should attend one elementary school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The boundary removes the only kids who currently walk to North Beach and adds kids who could not walk due to major arterials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Holman Rd is a large divide of neighborhoods, it doesn't make sense to cut out horizontal B-D and vertical 5-6 just to add Hor. E-F Vert. 5-6. There is a walking path in section D-6 that keeps children in a safe walking zone vs. having to cross three major roadways (85th, 80th, and 24th) to get to the new proposed school Loyal Heights.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Beach Elementary  Yes
1) Quadrant between 24th Ave. NW and 15th Ave. NW and NW 85th St. and NW 90th St. should be in the North Beach Attendance Area; the current lines divide a distinctive neighborhood, Olympic Manor, and prevent students who live across the street from the North Beach site from attending the school. 2) Similarly, the cul-de-sac streets that only have outlets onto 24th Ave. NW between NW 90th St. and NW 100th St. should be assigned to North Beach. It doesn't make sense to have them exit their streets onto 24th Ave. NW and drive by North Beach on their way to Loyal Heights about a mile away. 3) The Blue Ridge neighborhood is split between North Beach and Loyal Heights in a seemingly arbitrary fashion, sometimes having next-door neighbors assigned to different schools. Examine carefully the dividing line between these two attendance areas. 28th Ave. NW might work. Consider the neighborhood division: there is a sign on 28th Ave. NW and possibly NW 95th St. (?) that says, "North Beach" referring to the neighborhood. A possibility is east side of 28th Ave. NW all the way to the north side of NW 95th St. could be North Beach and the streets to the south or west of this could be Loyal Heights. Because Esplanade and NW Blue Ridge Dr. are contiguous, seems better to leave neighbors at the same school (everyone on this street either goes to North Beach or Loyal Heights); don't try to divide this street. Also, note that there is an internal stairway that makes walking from some of these streets to North Beach very reasonable. Another possibility would be looking at the ravine as a natural boundary.

North Beach Elementary  Yes  South boundary on the west side runs up the middle of the Olympic Manor community

North Beach Elementary  Yes  11 lanes of arterial traffic, ravine, extended time on no sidewalk areas
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Olympic Manor has been separated in half from NB Elem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, 85th street, a major arterial is in the way to school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>85th Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>crosses busy street they used 90th as the cut off and people that live on 23rd south of 90th street should not be left off. The cut off should be 85th not 90th. That means children that leave a block away are out of bounds, WHY.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This school's boundary is dividing the very close-knit neighborhood of Olympic Manor.

Yes, North Beach should include the area north of N.W. 85th St. and east of 24th Ave. N.W. NW 85th is a natural barrier, and was once the King County line and north boundary of the City of Seattle.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary School</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Beach</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Crossing 85th is a barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Olympia Manor is divided artificially, separating families from next door neighbors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This would send kids in the Olympic Manor neighborhood to two separate schools, Northbeach and Loyal Heights. Would be preferable to give O.M. families a choice of which school to go to. Thanks!

North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes

You split the Olympic Manor area

The area east of Holman Road would be difficult for students to cross.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

adjacent neighborhood excluded from boundary area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Children between 85th and 90th should be allowed to attend North Beach since this area is separated from Loyal Heights by 85th and the remainder of the neighborhood (the Olympic Manor) is zoned for North Beach. Thank you.
Walk the Boundaries Survey Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Beach Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I heard about this change at a neighborhood get together. This would be a big mistake for our neighborhood.
North Beach Elementary Yes  splits O.M neighborhood kids among 2 schools
North Beach Elementary Yes  NW 90th St should not be a boundary, 85th St NW
should be
North Beach Elementary Yes  Many people live in Olympic Manor who have kids
expect they will get to go to a school close to them
with no busy streets to cross. It would be wrong to
change this so they have to go to a different
school!
North Beach Elementary Yes  Traffic boundaries of 85th and 24th
North Beach Elementary Yes
North Beach Elementary Yes
North Beach Elementary Yes
North Beach Elementary Yes
North Beach Elementary Yes
North Beach Elementary Yes
North Beach Elementary Yes

The proposal to require children south of 90 St. to
attend their neighborhood school, North Beach, is
not acceptable. It needs to be changed to include
children south of 90th as well - these are children
who live across the street from North Beach.

North Beach Elementary Yes  Olympic Manor is divided in half, with kids who live
JUST ACROSS THE STREET FROM NORTH
BEACH ELEMENTARY HAVING TO GO TO A
SCHOOL THAT IS NOT THEIR
'NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL.' This also splits the
community of Olympic Manor, which is contrary to
the concept of community and neighborhood
schools. Finally, I believe the initial placement of
North Beach elementary, in the area of the old
Olympic View golf course, was to serve the
Olympic Manor and North Beach communities. As
a retired school counselor, I can tell you that the
presence of the entire Olympic Manor
community's children and families will be a definite
benefit to North Beach Elementary, and it will be
much safer for the children to be able to walk thru
our quiet neighborhood to get to their school,
instead of crossing two busy arterials. Please
reconsider this boundary of NW 90th, and change
it to NW 85th street.

North Beach Elementary Yes
North Beach Elementary Yes

The houses across the street from the school are
not in the boundary. I would advise including
homes south to 85th since Olympic Manor
surrounds the school on 3 sides, the entire
Olympic Manor community should be able to
attend the same school.
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes

Much easier access for West Crown Hill families to access 90th from alley and go down to North Beach versus crossing 2 major roads to get to Loyal Heights.

North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes

Attendance area with school on the VERY EDGE makes no sense for kids walking to school, a sense of community, etc.

North Beach Elementary  Yes
North Beach Elementary  Yes

Families living in the current Whittier attendance area but in the proposes North Beach attendance area (families just north of 85th and east of 15th) will have to cross 15th to attend North Beach.

Whittier is much closer geographically and easy to get to. North Beach is much more geographically distant and is across 15th and in an area without sidewalks, making transport to the school a huge problem.

North Beach Elementary  Yes

North Beach Elementary

North Beach Elementary

North Beach Elementary

North Beach Elementary

North Beach Elementary

Olympic Hills Elementary  Yes

I recommend making everything north of Northgate Way in this area part of Oly Hills. The part south of 115th Street is mostly Target and other shopping stores with a little bit of residential. I would let that residential area go to the closer school, OH instead of OV. Again, I have no idea what the neighbors in this slice prefer.

Olympic Hills Elementary  Yes

General comments: The eastern boundary (25th Ave NE), is located only 2 blocks east of Olympic Hills Elementary, and this boundary line bisects the Olympic Hills neighborhood. A more reasonable boundary would be 30th Ave NE or Lake City Way.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olympic Hills Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic View Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic View Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roxhill Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacajawea Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacajawea Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacajawea Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neighbors that live directly across the street from the school are not included in the boundary.
15th Ave NE and definitely Lake City Way are geographic barriers. South of Lake City Way, East of 15th Ave NE should go to Wedgwood Elementary.

Sacajawea Elementary  Yes

A State Highway 522 that feeds Interstate Highway 5 bi-sects the proposed boundary and will force elementary students to the south of Highway 522 to cross it on surface streets when traveling to and from school and/or the homes of classmates.

Sacajawea Elementary  Yes

15th Ave NE, the busiest and most dangerous street in Maple Leaf, runs right through the middle of the proposed area, which will force a lot of young children to cross this road.

Sacajawea Elementary  Yes

SR 522 feeds into the regular and express lanes of I-5 at the southern third of the proposed boundary and the safest place to cross it is on surface streets at 15th Ave. NE and Lake City Way—which is the corner where the Delta 9 marijuana dispensary is located.
In general, the southern-most portion of the proposed Sacajawea attendance area, south of Lake City Way, extending to NE 68th, is cut-off and isolated from the bulk of the Sacajawea attendance area. If possible, assign this southern section to Bryant and/or Wedgwood. It might make more sense to extend the Sacajawea attendance area east of Lake City way, into what is now the northwest portion of the Wedgwood attendance area. Access across Lake City Way (with a traffic light) is at NE 98th Street.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sacajawea Elementary</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Hwy 522 (Lake City Way)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sacajawea Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hwy 522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacajawea Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>522 Lake City Way</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The proposed assignment areas for Sacajawea and Wedgwood Elementary are not walkable. The district should orient the border between them horizontally and not vertically. For example, keep the same north, south, east and west borders for the 2 school area, but instead of using lake city way (north/south), use 88th (east/west). Ravenna and Northgate neighborhoods are very far apart and not a natural shared community.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sacajawea Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Point Elementary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Point Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See marked portion of attached map & embedded comments

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schmitz Park Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The area south and east of Fauntleroy may fit better into the Fairmount Park boundary due the busy road and geography.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schmitz Park Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Everything east of 55th ave SW should be included in SPE

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schmitz Park Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The northwest corner of the boundary (C3 and D2) has been carved out of Schmitz Park boundary and reassigned to Alki Elementary. There is a huge hill (runs down Hillcrest) between that residential area and Alki Elementary School. That area (D2 and C3) would be walkable to Schmitz Park at Genesee Hill, but not to Alki Elementary.
C4 56th Ave SW between Genesee & Dakota. Impassable 300’+ drop/bluff/natural area should be the logical boundary, not arbitrary 55th Ave SW, which proposal* actually splits off the last western-most street up here at the top of Genesee Hill - 56th Ave SW/Hillcrest Ave SW. This proposal, rather than make a natural grouping with Alki boundary area, effectively makes this small street+2spurs a very small “public school island” - due to the geographic obstacles (300’+ bluff, natural area, no through streets, access from top of Genesee Hill only.) Previous generations of families from this neighborhood attended Genesee Hill - logically. If capacity is a concern, addressing the proposed far-flung eastern boundary makes a lot more sense than carving off one small - the last - western street up here atop the Hill and forcing it into a truly challenging, un-geographically natural assignment. FYI This was actually addressed AND CORRECTED on the final November vote of the SPS Board during the last boundary change project - and the maps showing this change were published the day after the school board vote. At some point hence, however, the change disappeared from the SPS working maps/database, we have no idea how/when/why.

Schmitz Park Elementary  Yes

Exclusion of walkable areas at the expense of un-walkable areas

E2-F2....When walking the boundary as they are proposing it, it’s not clear why the cut was made where it was, instead of using the greenbelt and the arterial at SW Hanford St as a natural divide. Or, if you need to pare back, change of the line from going west down Hanford and then heading south on 40th (these homes are very walkable to Lafayette), to instead go west from California on Hinds and then jog North on 40th to Hanford and then south along the green belt. The greenbelt and the arterial provide a natural boundary.

Schmitz Park Elementary  Yes

California Ave and other roads
Schmitz Park Elementary  Yes
The proposed line that separates Schmitz Park from Lafayette is currently at Hinds. It would seem far more reasonable to move it one block north where a sizable green belt exists.

Stevens Elementary  No
Why is the boundary extended so far north, and cut off in the south?

Stevens Elementary  No

Stevens Elementary  Yes
proposed boundary divides the neighborhood surrounding TT Minor in half

Stevens Elementary  Yes

Thurgood Marshall Elementary  Yes
The areas east of MLK Way and West of Rainier Avenue

Thurgood Marshall Elementary  Yes
Rainier Ave. is a major street with heavy traffic on the cross streets during morning and afternoon commute hours
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Name</th>
<th>Survey Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thurgood Marshall Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rainier Ave, greenspace, steep hill, wide commercial area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The boundary extends up Beacon Hill and spans across two different SPS School Board Director districts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children on Beacon Hill, within walking distance of either BHIS or Kimball should not be included in this area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West boundary is west of Rainier Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intersection of Rainier Ave S and 23rd Ave S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Many busy roads and bridges not intended for pedestrians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S Graham is a busy arterial feeding directly onto I-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graham Street is a busy arterial that leads directly to an I-5 on-ramp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td>Survey Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Graham Street is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graham Street - very busy due to I-5 on ramp access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Graham Street is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Graham Street is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Graham St is the busy street that turns into I5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Asselt Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The south end boundary is ridiculously far away.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View Ridge Elementary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The area between NE 100th and NE 95th, between 40th Ave NE and NE 35th St is isolated and distant from View Ridge School. This area should become part of the John Rogers attendance area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
View Ridge Elementary  Yes

Viewlands Elementary  Yes  Holman Rd/105th
Why is there a boundary on 18th ave ne. It makes much more sense for Wedgwood to have boundaries at 15th ave ne and Lake City Way.
The western boundary of the attendance area, which runs down 18th St. NE cuts a natural, geographic neighborhood of kids who live on the same street in half, sending one side to one school and the other side to another school. This does not help the neighborhood. It makes more sense to draw the line down the alleyway between 18th and 17th, so all houses that face 18th St NE have kids going to the same school. At present, all kids on 18th NE go to Wedgwood, so this new boundary is cutting apart an existing community. The northern boundary of Wedgwood extends to NE 105th street, a few blocks south of the Jane Addams building, yet Wedgwood is a feeder school for Eckstein. The northern boundary for Wedgwood should be either moved north, to 115th (with adjustments made to the southern boundary, with Wedgwood feeding into Jane Addams, or moved south, at least to 95th, with Wedgwood feeding into Eckstein.

Wedgwood Elementary  Yes  75th Street offers a natural boundary, but currently a few students must cross this very busy road.
Despite the changes made on 75th street, I still feel that it's not the safest crosswalk for children especially since there's not a cross guard there. On 65th, there's always a cross guard from

Wedgwood Elementary  Yes  Assumption which assist students.
NE 75th is a barrier between neighborhoods on either side. 75th-areas south of this busy street are now included in Wedgwood's boundary. 75th should be a natural and safe barrier.

The southern border between NW Market Ave/3rd should be extended south to 46th St.

The eastern boundary is currently 6th avenue, but 8th avenue has a large divider down the middle making it impossible to cross at some intersections. 8th avenue would be a more natural boundary line, since the school is west of that main arterial.

Northern boundary should be moved to 90th nw
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wilson-Pacific Elementary</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Between Meridian and Aurora and south of NE 115th Street is Washelli Cemetery. There is a little slice of south of NE 115th Street between Meridian and I-5 that looks residential and is very close to Northgate Elementary. (E3) I have no idea what the neighbors want, but I would recommend making that little slice part of Northgate Elementary since it is close, small and bounded by I-5.
[Q1]: Issue #1  Select the appropriate grid designation

Describe the issue:

Take this opportunity to "right draw" the Adams boundary. Doesn't make sense to have the odd jogs in the line. With the overwhelming amount of construction of housing in the downtown Ballard area and given that the Adams footprint does not allow for portable placement, consider moving the Adams boundary to NW 65th St. The area between NW 65th and NW 85th streets could be in the Loyal Heights attendance area.

C3

East of 55th ave SW is not walkable to alki, but would be easily walkable to SPE @Genesee Hill

C3

D5

Enormous hill to walk down and up for young children

D6

A hill that is too steep to walk (over 350 feet of elevation difference). The new Schmitz school is only 4 blocks of easy walking distance to the school.

D6

D6 - steep hill (12% grade) provides a natural barrier (non-walkable / unsafe) for children to walk to Alki Elementary

D6

Large Hill with steep grade provides a natural barrier to getting to Alki Elementary

D6

Large hill with steep grade provides a natural barrier to getting to Alki Elementary

D6

I live in the new area proposed to be changed (73) from Schmitz Park to Alki. From this section (D6), the walk down to Alki Elementary is a steep curvy climb like walking from the top of Queen Anne to the bottom of the hill. This is hardly "walkable" for elementary school age kids. From D6, it's only 3-4 blocks to the new Schmitz at Genesse Hill. Why would you change the boundaries so that these homes would have to walk more than 1/2 mile down a steep hill when they could walk 3-4 blocks to the new school?

D6

Area from 49th st SW to the Schmitz Park ravine between Stevens and Charlestown is completely blocked from Alki Elementary school. One would have to walk through Schmitz Park to walk to school.
Houses in this area are bordered on all sides by Schmitz Park/Genesee zone. No neighbors to the west as that is a forest reserve. Current Schmitz Park site is in this section yet is in Alki zone. More than 1 mile down big hill and arterials for Alki vs .4 miles residential access to current and future Schmitz sites. More than 300 feet elevation gain.

F4 and 5 does a decent job of keeping neighborhoods together. Boundaries seem fair. It does not logically belong in Meany Middle. It should be in Washington. It is next door to Washington.

McClellan is a major arterial for Beacon hill. It is the main thoroughfare going up and down the hill. Most traffic leaving the hill in the morning takes McClellan to 23rd or Rainier. Moving these to Kimball will force very young children to cross a very dangerous street in the mornings. It’s the natural dividing line between Kimball and Beacon Hill International School.

Rainier is a very busy street. One that does not support walkable neighborhood schools. It seems dangerous to have children cross such busy street to walk out of their neighborhood to attend school. Why bus students from Georgetown when there are students in Beacon Hill that can WALK to school? The proposed boundary seems arbitrary and does not account for the safety of the children. The 19th Avenue boundary also lacks consideration for the geographic location and neighborhood school plan. This comment also applies to G5.
Families residing in G5 live in "North" Beacon Hill. McClellan is a clear boundary between "North" Beacon Hill and "Mid" Beacon Hill. Other local features that define "North" Beacon Hill are the Red Apple commercial hub and the Light Rail station (both just North of McClellan). As a neighborhood, McClellan is an obvious border defining the North Beacon Hill area. Zone 5 is North of McClellan and the BHIS boundary should remain there. This is a very dangerous and busy street that children should not have to cross on foot. I am not comfortable crossing this street during busy morning hours with the traffic that passes fast on McClellan which connects Rainier Valley to SODO/downtown.

The families that live in the areas of F5 and G5 are very clearly part of the North Beacon Hill community. These families should continue to have their children attend Beacon Hill International School. If you have ever driven through the neighborhood it is quite clear that McClellan is a clear dividing line between the north and central areas of Beacon Hill. McClellan is one of the busiest arterial streets in the area and small kids should not be expected to cross such a dangerous street every day to and from school. This along with the commercial hub of the Red Apple and Light Rail Station offer a clear landmark/boundary that should be taken into consideration when applying boundaries for Beacon Hill International. The proposed boundary change for the areas of F5 and G5 will put our kids in danger having to cross McClellan every day and will divide our communities.
Several square blocks are notched out from BHIS and sent to Kimball. This is both confusing and seems unfair.

These 2 dead end streets (4th ave NW and 6th ave NW) should be included in the Broadview Thomson boundary. The boundary should stay at the natural break of 115th -which is a ravine. Keeping the boundary where it is west of 3rd ave then does not cut out any families that are part of the BT K-8 community.

The proposed new boundary dividing Broadview Thomson (B-T) and Viewlands now unnecessarily cuts several dead-end streets out of the B-T area. The previous natural boundary of the ravine west of NW 115th provides a much more reasonable dividing line, preventing several streets from being cut in half. Natural boundaries like this one should be used whenever possible to avoid chopping neighborhoods in half. Please revise the boundary to travel further south on 3rd Ave NW until NW 115th, and then follow the ravine to the west, to create this boundary. This will not create a significant shift in school attendance, as there are not many houses impacted. It will, however, make a significant difference to the neighborhood by keeping families together and helping to maintain the sense of community that we have created there.
Proposed boundary change excludes families on 6th Ave, south of 117th, as well as families on the south side of 116th from Broadview-Thomson school. This does not make sense given the current respect for natural geographical boundary of a ravine at 115th. Keeping the current boundary in this area would be more in alignment with natural geographical boundary and help maintain the integrity of this close-knit neighborhood. Given the naturally occurring and isolating topography of this neighborhood, Broadview-Thomson school has been a very important unifying feature for the kids and families in this neighborhood.

Should draw this boundary at 15th Ave NE, NOT Roosevelt.

25th Avenue is an extremely busy road and offers a natural boundary.

North boundary should extend to NE 75th between 20th Ave NE and 35th Ave NE so that children in these blocks do not have to cross the busiest part of NE 75th to go to school. Two pedestrians were killed on NE 75th in 2013. Improvements to the street were made in response to that incident. However, NE 75th is still a barrier separating neighborhoods on either side. Traffic moves at high speed, with few lighted crosswalks. Traffic lights are located near the tops or bottoms of steep hills, which makes pedestrians less likely to go out of their way to use them.
Your boundary puts a part of Ravenna into Wedgwood. You are adding students who live in View Ridge, and are closer to View Ridge Elementary to Bryant and moving students closer to Bryant up to Wedgwood. It's just dumb. It in no way helps crowding at Bryant and only severs the existing communities of both schools. Makes no sense.

Attendance area divide by major state highway (Lake City Way.)

High density of low income families

The natural boundary for the Cedar Park attendance area in this region is NE 125th St. There are no crosswalks (currently) on NE 125th St, between 35th Ave NE and Sand Point Way. Students living south of 125th Street would be better served by John Rogers Elementary, as they would be in the John Rogers walk zone.

This is south of 125th, a major road, with a blind curve and no crosswalks east of 35th Ave NE.

There is an area on the west side of SR 509 that is inaccessible to Concord because it is unsafe to cross that highway.
Highway 99 (Aurora) is a geographic barrier to students/parents accessing Daniel Bagley from the west side of Highway 99. Specifically, where the highway runs adjacent to greenlake (area C6). Both the lake and the highway are a natural geographic boundary. As proposed, students and parents will have to cross a very busy highway to access the school. The current boundaries allow for students in our area to attend West Woodland and access via residential streets. It is recommended that students south of N. 70th Street and west of Highway 99 be included in the West Woodland boundary.

C6

We would have to find a street that crosses over/under I-5 and deal with and all the cars trying to get on/off the ramps.

F5

Low Test Scores - We deserve the same quality schools as in the north Seattle. Low Peforming School. We require the same quality schools as in north Seattle.

D3

This area is cut off from Gatewood by a ravine running east-west, just north of Faunteroy Way on the West side of California Ave. Children here are forced to walk along busy California Ave for several blocks, and then cross a very busy and dangerous intersection. This neighborhood would be much better served to be in Schmitz Park’s boundary, since the route to that school would involve only local collector streets.
we are trying to make sure that the boundary for greenlake and Hamilton is not 16th ave ne. we are sure that it is supposed to be 15th ave NE, we believe this is the street, the maps are confusing.

Using 6th Ave. NW (a non-arterial route) as the dividing line between Whittier and Greenwood and West Woodland does not make sense. 8th Ave. NW is the natural (and quite wide, with an island in the middle) arterial. Makes sense from a neighborhood identity point of view as well as from a transportation point of view.

This boundary snakes up through the Columbia City neighborhood creating an unnatural division with no rhyme or reason. This is the only boundary line in the entire district which has such a forced and arbitrary division. The previous boundary followed a natural division along Orcas St. which also represented an actual neighborhood delineation. This supported neighborhood identity and commitment to the local school. The proposed boundary change divides the neighborhood in two, and even worse, lessens diversity by allocating the higher income area to another school. This will result in a more homogenous and lower income gen ed population while the Spectrum program will draw from the larger region thus creating a sense of racial disparity between the two programs. This false neighborhood division is bad, bad, bad.

I live in this area and will be redistricted for the 3rd time in 5 years. My younger child will no longer be eligible to attend the school we have worked so hard to transform, and will no longer have the opportunity to participate in a STEAM program.

The Lakewood community should be part of the Hawthorne zone. The Lakewood playground is not included in this zone. Don't cut the neighborhood in half.
Area between NE 125th Street and NE 123rd Street, bordered by Sand Point Way and 35th Ave NE is assigned to Cedar Park by NE 125th St, a busy arterial with no crosswalks, and no sidewalks planned for the south side of 125th. This is not a safe area for children to cross. This area should be assigned to John Rogers.

This area is not separated by physical barriers and it in fact within close walking distance to Kimball and yet is being moved to Maple Elementary which is a good deal further from this area.

The boundary excludes families who are part of the Beacon Hill community.
McClellan is a major arterial for Beacon hill. It is the main thoroughfare going up and down the hill. Most traffic leaving the hill in the morning takes McClellan to 23rd or Rainier. Young kids should not be crossing this very dangerous street at rush hour. It’s also the natural dividing line between Kimball and Beacon Hill International School.
Students north of McClellan will have difficultly crossing this street to get to Kimball which is south. This is a busy street being a major arterial for beacon hill. There are few crosswalks or stop signs for this street. Cars drive up and down this street very fast.
McClellan is a main arterial in the neighborhood. It is typical for cars to be traveling 45+ miles an hour. It is simply not safe for young children to be crossing.
The only cross walks across S Columbian Way are at Beacon and MLK. It would be much safer for these students to cross the low traffic volume Beacon Ave to the west to get to Maple than require they cross north to go the longer distance to Kimball.

E6

These children E6/E7 kids south of Columbian Way will have to cross a VERY busy avenue (Columbian Way) and Jefferson Park to get to Kimball. There is no similar barrier for these children to get to Maple (since Beacon Ave is a much safer street to cross and only has one-lane in each direction; whereas Columbian Way/Alaska has two lanes in each direction in some parts.) These kids should be back in Maple, not Kimball. Beacon Ave is safe to cross. Columbian Way/Alaska and 15th Ave at Columbian Way is not.

E7

McClellan St is a clear boundary/dividing line between the north and central Beacon Hill areas. Bringing the kids from the areas of F5 and G5 would put those kids in danger forcing them to cross the busy arterial of McClellan St every day. Also it will fracture the community of North Beacon Hill that is being built. If any changes are to be made then it should be in the areas of C&D 6 that follow the natural boundary of Lander St. Speaking specifically to the areas of Beacon Hill areas F&G5 should be left in the area of Beacon Hill International. Building strong communities is important and respect needs to be given to the natural boundary of McClellan and the commercial hub of the Red Apple, restaurants and light rail station. I would not want to put any kids in danger either by making them cross such a busy street to and from school.

F5
McClellan is a natural community border that divides "north" beacon hill from "mid" beacon hill. Kimball is clearly situated in the "mid" beacon Hill Neighborhood. Families residing North of McClellan should not be forced to cross this major arterial on foot which connects Rainier Valley to SODO. This is not safe for children. Secondly, Kimball's current boundary circles nicely around the major local feature of Jefferson Park and the Golf course which are strongly associated with "mid" beacon Hill. F5 does not fit in with this community in that it is North of the major defining boundary of McClellan and is not close to the local feature which defines "mid" beacon hill of Jefferson Park/Golf course.

The green belt on the north end of 35th serves as a natural divider between Schmitz Park and Lafayette as the map is currently proposed a more arbitrary line has been drawn one block south on Hinds Ave SW.

Ravenna Blvd is an arterial but one block north of the blvd is an actual ravine. The ravine is a major division between University Park and Ravenna. The blvd itself is a minor division. Making the boundary there means that you need to have one bus for one side of the blvd and another bus for the other side. on a map that was presented last night, 16th avenue was the western border for laurelhurst. The border should be 15th.

The south boundary line west of Martin Luther King avenue is proposed to be Jackson street. This is a big mistake!!! It should be Dearborn street.
Issue: the proposed boundary of Jackson street to the west of MLK. This boundary should be Dearborn street instead.

Big issue: proposal puts southwest boundary line at Jackson. It should be Dearborn.

The boundary on the southwest should be Dearborn. The proposal makes it Jackson, which is a huge issue.

The proposed southwest boundary of Jackson (i.e. the area west of MLK) is the problem. This boundary should be Dearborn instead. Please do not go forward with this terrible proposal of making it Jackson. Thank you.

The issue is that the proposed southwest boundary for Leschi is Jackson Street. It should be Dearborn Street. It is totally haphazard for the boundary to be Jackson, the proposal cuts off the part of the neighborhood that naturally extends to Dearborn Street.

The problem is the proposed boundary of Jackson for the southwest section. This boundary should not be Jackson. Instead it should be Dearborn. I.e., the area between Jackson and Dearborn and between 23rd avenue and MLK avenue should be part of the Leschi attendance area.

The southwest proposed boundary is Jackson. This makes no sense. The boundary should be Dearborn.

The southwest boundary should be Dearborn.

Makes no sense to have south end boundary west of MLK be Jackson. THE BOUNDARY SHOULD BE DEARBORN there.

The proposed boundary line at Jackson west of MLK does not make sense. The boundary line should be Dearborn.

The boundary should be Dearborn for this area west of MLK avenue south. It should not be Jackson.

The E-W boundary line should be Dearborn St., not Jackson St for the area west of MLK Jr. Blvd.

The proposal for changing the boundary line to Jackson in the area west of MLK is a disaster. The line should clearly be Dearborn.

The boundary line should be Dearborn, not Jackson, for this area

This is the area west of MLK and south of Jackson. The proposed line dividing Leschi from Thurgood Marshall is Jackson in this area. This makes no sense from a neighborhood perspective. It should be Dearborn.

Don't cut off the attendance area west of MLK at Jackson!!!

The south cutoff in that portion of the attendance area should be Dearborn.

The boundary line on the southwest of the area is very problematic. It is Jackson, but it should be Dearborn.

The boundary should end at Dearborn, not Jackson on the west side of MLK street.

West of MLK the south boundary line should be Dearborn, not Jackson. Dearborn naturally separates these neighborhoods, not Jackson.

The south boundary line west of MLK should be Dearborn. It makes no sense to have it be Jackson.
Dearborn Street should be the dividing line for the Leschi attendance area west of MLK Avenue, not Jackson. Dearborn naturally separates the neighborhoods between Thurgood Marshall and Leschi. It is a mistake to cut off this area north of Dearborn from the Leschi attendance area.

The east side of the boundary along 14th Ave actually is in the middle of a residential neighborhood. One block over, 15th Ave E is a commercial area and seems much better suited for the north-south boundary. (This is on the map G 3-5)

G5 and 6 Dividing up major neighborhoods. Not a reasonable walking distance. Hill up from 19th and and another up from 15th. Crossing major arterials, E. Madison, John, 12th 15th and heavy traffic.

Boundary divides a cohesive community along 19th. Why not 23rd to the east, or 14th to the west?

there are huge geographical boundaries to cross in 85th street and 24th ave. so, for those who live north of 85th street and/or east of 24th, crossing one or both streets are tremendously unsafe and difficult to do. the only real option to do it safely and lawfully is at the intersection of 84th st and 24th ave.

North of 85th. outside of walk zone would require bus transportation
Take this opportunity to "right draw" the Adams boundary. Doesn't make sense to have the odd jogs in the line. With the overwhelming amount of construction of housing in the downtown Ballard area and given that the Adams footprint does not allow for portable placement, consider moving the Adams boundary to NW 65th St. The area between NW 65th and NW 85th streets could be in the Loyal Heights attendance area.

85th is a busy street making it difficult for families on 89th and 18th avenue to walk and bike to school safely.

Our children walk/bike to school often to North Beach, currently. Our address is 8748 18th Ave. N.W. Our children would have to cross 3 main arterials, (1) NW 85th St., (2) 24th Ave. NW, and (3) NW 80th St. I fear for the safety of my children.

These properties and the Olympic Manor neighborhood as a whole is separated from the loyal heights area by (2) major arterial streets, NW 85th Street and 24th Ave NW. They are also located within walking distance of North Beach Elementary with no arterial separation. They should be part of the North Beach attendance area.

Unsafe passage across 85th for children north of Loyal heights. Major article. Children who can see North Beach from their windows would have to go to another school? That makes no sense. This is inappropriate since Olympic Manor children would be pulled away from a neighborhood school.

Why should these kids have to walk away from a school they can see from their yard and go far to another place? Dividing Olympic Manor between two schools is unwise and inappropriate as well as unnecessary. Small children would be forced to attend a school outside their neighborhood. Neighborhoods should not be divided. Keep Olympic Manor intact.
Splitting the Olympic Manor neighborhood for no discernible reason.

I think it is wrong to divide neighborhoods. All of the OM children should go to the same school.

The southern 1/2 of Olympic Manor (south of 90th, north of 85th NW, between 24th NW & 21st NW) has been proposed to move to Loyal Heights rather than North Beach elementary. This does not make good sense as children will be required to now either drive to school or cross 3 major roads (85th, 80th, 24th NW) and walk major arterials the entire way, when they are currently less than one tenth of a mile from NB Elem (or across the street!) with no major roads to cross. It puts school out of walking distance for the younger children who now have an easy walk to NB. North Beach Elementary is clearly a more logical school for these children to attend in keeping Seattle's goals of neighborhood schools and reducing car traffic. Rather than dividing at 90th, it makes more sense to divide at 85th. This cuts the strong community of Olympic Manor in half and makes children between 85th & 90th have to cross two major streets to get to school. Please consider revising.

You separated a planned community - Olympic Manor by extending Loyal Heights boundary to NW 90th St. The kids between NW 85th St, NW 90th St and 24th Ave NW are the biggest group of walkers to North Beach Elementary. Removing them increased the number of buses going to Loyal Heights AND North Beach since these kids cannot cross NW 85th St, NW 80th St and 24th Ave NW safely.

Many children would have to cross busy streets. Dangerous road to cross

drug dealing

Splitting a cohesive neighborhood along 19th. Why not 23rd, which would prevent children on the west side of 23rd from having to cross a dangerous and very busy 4-lane? Not to mention avoiding the historically troubled intersection of 23rd and Union.
C4

Intersection 23rd

Huge walkability issue--kids west of 23rd would need to cross two very busy intersections/streets to reach the school. C4 and 5 will never have a walkable school until TT Minor is there for them. 23rd is the boundary for Squire Park and east of there are the Garfield Community Council and Madrona Community Council. Two hills. 23rd is a busy traffic and transit corridor.

C5

Couldn't find the grid designation but cross street is Union and 23rd

B4

This offramp/onramp to I-5 at Columbian Way/14th AVe/Spokane ST S is a dangerous place for kids and families to have to cross to get to Maple Elementary. This road has nearly six lanes of traffic coming from 4 directions, including the freeway. People drive this area at high speeds. I could not cross the street here safely--no one does. These families are closer to Kimball or Beacon Hill Elementaries--neither of which have freeway traffic to contend with when walking to school.(B4-C4)

C3

This area is physically separated from Maple not only by two busy streets but a large park which would make commuting to Maple much further than appears on the map.
students from this area could not walk safely to school - they
would have to go on very narrow streets with no sidewalks (13th
and 14th in the block north of Spokane) and cross a major busy
intersection where two major streets (Spokane and Columbia
Way) merge into I-5 on and off ramps. This runs counter to the
state priority to 'maximize walkability'. The new boundaries
carve out a group of homes north of Spokane street and send
them out of their neighborhood - where their neighbors know
them and keep them safe, to a school nearly two miles away
(where they would have to cross two busy four lane streets and
pass through unfamiliar neighborhoods -- as opposed to
allowing them to stay in their neighborhood and attend one of
the two elementary schools that are actually in easy walking
distance and only a half a miles from their home. This makes
no sense. Spokane is a geographic boundary that divides
beacon hill neighborhoods.

students coming from homes north of Spokane on 12th, 13th, or
14th, would need to cross at a very busy intersection without a
crosswalk, right where cars are going onto and off of I-5 -- a lot
of traffic there, and not at all pedestrian friendly. Additionally,
a portion of their walk would be on extremely narrow roads with
no sidewalks (both 13th and 14th streets).

Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly to i5

My child can walk up Lucille to get to school. With this map, he
will be late to school everyday if he goes to Van Asselt. More
traffic, longer commute.
Spokane Street is a busy arterial leading directly to I-5. Also, Jefferson Park is another big landmark. F2 is cut off from the rest of the zone.

One of the three ways to cross Spokane St. is at Spokane & S Columbian Way. Students crossing from the north would have to descend a very steep hill to the cross walk, cross to the south of Spokane and then to get to the west side of Columbian cross again (the east side doesn't connect and doesn't have a sidewalk). They would then have to climb up a long hill to regain the altitude they had just lost walking down to the intersection. The intersection itself has a high volume of cars wishing to get on I-5, they frequently slip out into the intersection against a red light to make a right turn, all their attention is on north traffic on Columbian Way and not on potential pedestrians.

Spokane street is very difficult to cross right by the freeway. Maple student north of here should go to Kimball or BHIS

Our son would have to cross 4 lanes of traffic on Spokane to get to school. This is a major arterial that separates our neighborhood from neighborhoods to the south.

South Spokane is a neighborhood boundary (North Beacon Hill to Mid Beacon Hill) other services throughout the city reflect Spokane as the demarcation/boundary. This proposed boundary by the schools is contrary to other functions and services of the neighborhood.
Heavy traffic along Spokane Street and 15th Ave are significant hazards for younger children. Additionally, the walk distance is unreasonable for young children, especially compared to other closer school such as Kimball and Beacon International.

F2

Spokane Street is a very busy arterial leading directly to I-5. Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5.) That neighborhood does NOT want to bus across Spokane to Maple; they want to stay at BH Int'l. Confirming our concern about Spokane will help make room at Maple for us.

F2

Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5
F2

Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5
F2

S Spokane is the I5 onramp arterial.
F2

Spokane is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5
Children living at the north end of the attendance area boundary must cross major arterials: Spokane St, Columbian Way to reach Maple Elem. These streets receive highway on/off ramp traffic. Traffic is too heavy and moves too quickly for young children to safely travel to school. The increased risk of traveling these streets is not necessary when there are 2 other schools closer by (Kimball, Beacon Intl) that do not require crossing these roads.

F2

Columbian Way/ 15th Ave S is a very busy arterial (4 lanes, 6 including turn lanes in some places) and is a route to and from 1-5 for a large part of South Seattle. That street along with Spokane Street and Jefferson Park clearly separate and divide the F2 area of the grid from the rest of the proposed Maple assignment area.

This is for D5 and D6. The southern triangle created by the meeting of Lake Washington Blvd and E Madison would naturally be a better fit for Madrona. A number of other issues with hills and street configurations also exist. At least if you moved Madrona’s boundaries north here, more students could walk to school and not cross two major streets.
The line along the south end of the boundary cuts through a residential neighborhood. Seems to make much more sense based on the roads that the southern boundary run along Madison and then Lake Washington Blvd, which is a natural divider in the area.

Inside walk zone now. North Beach neighborhood
drawing the boundary at 90th street would burden the olympic manor community because it divides this neighborhood in half. because it is north of 85th street, this neighborhood is assimilated to the local culture of north beach, rather than loyal heights. also, for those who live north of 85th street, this imposes a geographic barrier as it is difficult and unsafe to cross this street. it seems to make much more sense to draw the boundary that divides NB from LH at 85th street.

Breaks the OLYMPIC MANOR COMMUNITY in half. It also places children DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET from the school outside the North Beach catchment area. It also places families within the NORTH BEACH COMMUNITY, outside the boundaries for the school.

Half of the Olympic Manor neighborhood, which is essentially right across from North Beach, would be outside the NB area. Boundary should be changed to be 85th st not 90th so Olympic Manor is not separated. This places school more in middle of cachement area and may slightly lessen traffic in residential neighborhood as well as maintaining community ties in this cohesive in-city neighborhood.
Olympic Manor neighborhood is incredibly walkable. In fact, it represents the majority of kids walking to North Beach. By removing the area south of NW 90th St down to NW 85th St and from 15th Ave NW to 24th Ave NW, you are eliminating half of a planned neighborhood (Olympic Manor) and increasing transportation costs since these kids will need to be bused to Loyal Heights due to crossing two major arterials - NW 85th St and 24th Ave NW.

North Beach neighborhood has an infrastructure that allows the kids to walk to school including paths and crosswalks on 24th. This change would require kids to walk up main roads; 24th & 28th both which don't have sidewalks and require them to cross 2 major street (85th & 80th) From our house it would be a difference of walking less then a 1/8 mile to walking close to a mile.

The new boundry literally cuts the community in half. Families who live across the street will now have to commute half a mile to loyal heights. It is disruptive to the community sense of olympic manor.

This entire area proposed to be removed has direct walking access without having to worry about major roadways, through a path on north end of 87th between 16th -17th. Sending these families to Loyal Heights means having to deal with 3 main roadways in the process unnecessarily.
Drawing the boundary along 90th NW instead of 85th NW cuts Division 2 of the planned community of Olympic Manor (www.olympicmanor.org) in half and puts half of the development at North Beach and half at Loyal Heights. This is a community that has existed since 1958 and each property is subject to covenants which are part of the property deeds. The community has an organized board of governance and each year holds such community activities as a 4th of July picnic and neighborhood garage sale. The neighborhood has local notoriety for its holiday decorations, revolving Santa carousel at the entrance, and volunteer Santa Clauses who collect food bank donations and distribute candy canes for cars and buses full of visitors from across the region. Neighborhood children play at the school which is centrally located within the boundaries of the neighborhood. By drawing the boundary down the middle of this neighborhood, the School District would be damaging the sense of community that is vital to supporting our neighborhood school during a time of diminishing public resources. By drawing the boundary to exclude households which live directly across from the school, the walking route for many changes from a one-block walk (or less) to a nine-block walk, crossing two major, traffic-heavy intersections on the way. Other existing organizations currently use 85th rather than 90th as a border for household participation. For example, the boundary for NW Little League Baseball/Softball is for households north of 85th (not 90th). In fact, the existing boundary for Ballard High School is at 85th and not 90th. We should not create confusion for children in the areas south of 90th and north of 85th who would now be sent to Loyal Heights with neighbors to the south, but would then be required to go to high school with homes to the north. Consistency is very important for the development of lasting social connections between children which contribute to their sense of well-being and in turn to the community.
1) Quadrant between 24th Ave. NW and 15th Ave. NW and NW 85th St. and NW 90th St. should be in the North Beach Attendance Area; the current lines divide a distinctive neighborhood, Olympic Manor, and prevent students who live across the street from the North Beach site from attending the school.

D6

South boundary on west side runs through the middle of the Olympic Manor community. This would force half of the homes to send their kids to Loyal Heights when North Beach is closer. It also disrupts the community by separating neighbors, and increasing children crossing busy 85th and 24th streets, and adding more traffic in the neighborhood.

D6

Children from this area are being moved from crossing 1 to 3 residential streets, with sidewalks, to 11 lanes of arterial traffic.
Homes in the south half of Olympic Manor (north of 85th NW, south of 90th NW) have been proposed to be pulled out of NB Elem boundaries. These homes are within a tenth of mile from North Beach Elem and do not require any major roads to be crossed to walk to school. There are many families who regularly walk their children to school (rather than getting in their cars) and it makes no sense to divert these children to Loyal Heights which is an additional 1/2 mile away, not walkable for the younger children, and requires walking along and crossing 3 major arterials. It also splits up a very tight-knit community dedicated to NB Elem and the surrounding neighborhoods.

Students will need to cross 85th to get to school. They can no longer walk to school as it is too far for little kids to walk. C6 and D6 is a dead-end tight-knit community with the adjacent Olympic Manor and North Beach communities. Geographically, the highland View neighborhood should stay with North Beach.

A portion of the Olympic Manor development has been split off even though the school was part of this neighborhoods development.

Boundary crosses a busy street (NW 85th st), thus rendering the possibility of small children walking to school nearly impossible. 85th is extremely busy between 24th and 15th Aves, and not nearly so busy west of 24th.

Many children live in this area of Olympic Manor and can walk safely to school. Why should they go blocks out of there way and cross 2 busy streets to do so.
Unsafe - Forces children to cross busy streets to attend a school 1 mile away, when they can walk 1-4 blocks, cross no busy streets and attend North Beach Elementary.

D6 cuts a well established, close knit community in half

Houses directly across the street cannot go to the school they can see from their front yard.

North Beach should pull from ALL of the Olympic Manor neighborhood. Olympic Manor is a very close-knit community and it should not be divided between 2 different elementary schools.

D6 All of Olympic Manor should attend North Beach Elementary. The commute is extremely safe, and the school is in the middle of the neighborhood.

The area north of NW 85th St. and east of 24th Ave. NW should be included in the North Beach's boundary. You are splitting a portion of the Olympic Manor neighborhood, as well as the neighborhood just east of Olympic Manor.
Children who live across the street from North Beach Elem should never have to go to another school! This is a "Neighborhood school".

Do not remove Olympic Manor from North Beach school this school is part of our community.

Divides a homogenous neighborhood along arbitrary lines. The neighborhood should go entirely to the same elementary school.

Olympic Manor should not be split into two school attendance areas.

This area of Olympic Manor is on the same side of NW 85th St. Changing the boundary to exclude this corner of our neighborhood will make it more dangerous for our children to walk to school as they will have to cross a busy street rather than walking 1 block on a quiet residential street.

The boundary that runs along 90th St NW is a bad idea. This splits the neighborhood Olympic Manor in half, 1/2 going to North Beach and 1/2 going to Loyal Heights. 85th St NW is a better boundary. This way, all families in Olympic Manor would continue to walk to North Beach. If 90th were the boundary, families south of 90th St NW would have to cross the very busy arterials of 85th St NW, 24th Ave NW, AND 80th St NW to get to Loyal Height Elementary. This is a HUGE safety issue!!! 85th St NW is currently a high school boundary- North of 85th St NW goes to Ingraham High School and South of 85th St NW goes to Ballard High School. If the district has already determined that this is a boundary for high school, they should have it be the elementary school boundary as well.
Instead of splitting up the Olympic Manor neighborhood into two schools, it would be preferable to give families a choice about whether their child goes to North Beach or Loyal Heights. The Olympic Manor neighborhood is across the street from the school, yet students are to attend a school many blocks to the south, across busy streets. It makes no sense. The boundary splits Olympic Manor in half and North Beach is built in the middle of this community. Please consider extending the boundary south of 90th st to 85th st. Our house is half a block from North Beach Elementary and with the new boundaries our daughter is slated for another school. While the boundaries were nice, straight, easy to draw lines, there must be a better way.

The proposed new plan is a dangerous plan for many children who live in the Olympic Manor and presently attend North Beach Elem. where they can walk without crossing any major streets. The new plan would have them crossing very busy 85th St. and 24th Ave. NW in order to attend Loyal Heights Elem. Dumb plan! There needs to be much more study and common sense used to make a better decision. Olympic Manor is a homogenous community surrounding North Beach on 3 sides. You are cutting it in two. The boundary should be 85th street.

I can see North Beach Elementary from my kitchen window and could literally throw a stone into the playground. It would make absolutely NO sense for my 5 year old daughter to go anywhere other than the school she could walk to by herself. She could NOT walk to Loyal Heights.

We live on 90th Street...across the street from NBE. The fact that my child will not be attending a school directly across from our house, but rather a school that will require him crossing over 2 major roads to get there make absolutely no sense to me. We purchased our house 2 months ago for the specific reason that it is so close to the school.

A much more natural and child friendly boundary for the school is NW 85th St which has extremely heavy traffic and is unsuitable for children attending any school to have to cross. WE live in a community of homes. It's unreasonable that our children cannot walk to their neighborhood school that is across the street to a few blocks away. Please re-design your plan to include all of Olympic manor students attending NB Elementary.

Thank you.

Olympic Manor neighborhood is split in half. Boundary should be 85th St. and 24th Ave NW so to include the entire Olympic Manor neighborhood.
Changing the southern attendance boundary to 90th street (the street that actually borders the school) would have a significant negative impact on the children of our neighborhood. I live in Olympic Manor (three blocks South of NB Elementary) and my children would be forced to travel nine blocks South (crossing three major streets - 85th and 80th) to attend Loyal Heights Elementary. To ask elementary aged children to travel so far when there is a school literally in our neighborhood that is much safer to access seems illogical. Many people chose to move into this neighborhood because all of the children attend NB Elementary and this has formed a very strong community. Breaking this up does not make any sense. I ask that you apply common sense and extend the Southern boundary to at least 85th Street to include all of our community in the NB Elementary boundary.

The boundary for this school should be on 85th instead of 90th so that the entire community of Olympic Manor is in the North Beach school area.

Children in Olympic Manor should be able to attend North Beach Elementary. Otherwise they will have to cross 85th which has very fast-moving traffic, and 80th. We should be trying to reduce the need for parents to drive their kids to school. As it is there is a lot of congestion on 90th due to parents dropping off & picking up their children. There are a lot of children in Olympic Manor. It only makes sense for them to be able to walk to their neighborhood school. Extend the boundary to 85th St.

Having the street along the south and west side of North Beach Elementary school the boundary is just plain stupid. Are you kidding? I can't believe we were talking about this. make the border NW 85th Street. Loyal Heights is a fine school. It just isn't our neighborhood school. Let's get it right!

Placing a "neighborhood" school in the corner of boundaries is such a ludicrous idea that it is difficult to think of how to respond to the proposal. I believe that children should attend a school they are able to walk to. With increasing amounts of homework in school, the hours outside of the classroom must be used wisely and efficiently. The need to travel long distances to school defeats parents' best efforts to organize their pupils' use of time not spent at school, shrinks the hours in which homework can be done, and alienates families from extracurricular and supportive school activities that might otherwise be feasible (such as volunteering in the classroom). Please reconsider, and design a boundary system that places schools in the center of the region they seek to serve.
Seattle Public Schools are proposing to change the attendance boundary of North Beach Elementary School. The new boundary will exclude Olympic Manor residents from 90th Street south to 85th street from attending North Beach. This would mean that some of the Olympic Manor elementary school kids would go to a different school than the rest of the Olympic Manor kids. Our house would be the one cut off from North Beach Elementary in the proposed boundary changes. The main reason we bought our house in Olympic Manor in Jan 2013 was due to the proximity of North Beach Elementary to our house; it's within walking distance. It's also part of the Olympic Manor Community. This is unacceptable, the boundary cannot be changed.

The school attendance area is bounded on the south by the schoolyard fence? Olympic Manor homeowners who chose their homes because of the school are excluded even though they could fly a frisbee from their homes into the schoolyard. This boundary appears too tight considering that the school is situated in the very subdivision whose students are excluded. Common sense and logic require extending the boundary southward to 85th east of 24th Ave. NW.

Bad idea for many, many reasons. Splits neighborhood, endangers child-pedestrians, creates unnecessary traffic.

Families in neighborhoods bordering the school are excluded from northbeach elementary with the new boundaries. Specifically, the Olympic Manor neighborhood directly across 90th will be affected. Given that the school is just a short walk for all neighbors, it does not make sense to force families to cross two busy streets, 85th and 24th, to get to Loyal Heights. In particular, 85th is a very busy street with only one crosswalk between 15th Ave W and 32nd Ave W. The proposed boundary also breaks up the Olympic Manor community unnecessarily. The community of Olympic Manor has long considered North Beach Elementary their local school, as it could be reached by walking on sidewalks and not crossing any major roads. The proposed new boundary at 90th will have half the children in the neighborhood crossing two busy streets to get to a school which is several blocks farther from home than North Beach Elementary.

It makes sense to be completely inclusive of a long-standing community (Olympic Manor), rather then split it in the middle. Children between 85th and 90th should be allowed to attend North Beach instead of Loyal Heights since this area is separated from Loyal Heights by 85th and the remainder of the neighborhood (the Olympic Manor) is zoned for North Beach.

Thank you.

Boundary cuts right through the boundary of a developed neighborhood Olympic Manor.
The newly drawn boundary is dividing the neighborhood and excluding direct neighbors from North Beach Elementary. It is an arbitrary divide that does not make good sense.
I do not think the boundary lines should be changed to exclude children from Olympic Manor between N.W. 90th and N.W. 85th from attending North Beach Elementary School.

While I understand the school district faces tough dilemmas regarding allocating students across the district, it is greatly disappointing that there appears to be an arbitrary line drawn that keeps neighborhood kids from attending their nearest neighborhood school. This is a hardship for any number of reasons, the primary one being a disruption to the sense of community that develops when neighborhood kids attend school together. Please reconsider the method by which you are creating these new boundaries. Pragmatically it makes no sense that people who live a block away (or across the street) from a school, are not assigned to that school. I know there is no way to make everyone happy here. But, the greatest degree of balanced district boundaries makes sense -- not one that arbitrarily sets a line that disrupts the sense of community that we all frankly need these days.

A division line at NW 90th st. divides the community of Olympic Manor. Instead of being able to walk across 90th to attend their neighborhood school (literally across the street), they would now be forced to cross NW 85th street. This is a very busy arterial with a light only at 85th NW and 24th NW. These children would then need to cross another busy arterial at NW 80th. The children living in Olympic Manor (and those between 85th NW and NW 90th) are all within easy walking distance to North Beach Elementary. Safety should be the first criteria—what streets will these kids cross. Another issue is traffic (much further to walk, they'll need to be driven or bussed adding more congestion around Loyal Heights. Drawing a line here makes no sense, and divides the community of Olympic Manor. Leave the boundary line at 85th NW.

Please don't divide Olympic Manor community. Please move the Northbeach south boundary to 85th street.

The Olympic Manor Community Club is a neighborhood that has a portion excluded from attending North Beach. It excludes kids living across the street from the school. This is wrong. North Beach Elementary should include all of Olympic manor. The current proposed boundary would exclude kids who live across the street from the school. North Beach's Southern boundary should be 85th for geographic and safety reasons.

The new boundary along NE 90th St divides our cohesive Olympic Manor neighborhood in half. There are many families living on my street that have young children who will have to make their way to Loyal Heights, many blocks away across very busy streets, instead of going to our neighborhood school right across the street. I am very concerned for the safety of those kids, and also about how the boundary will harm the unified feel of our community (which is the reason most of us moved to this wonderful neighborhood). Please reconsider! Thank you.
the proposed boundary will divide the kids belonging in a cohesive neighborhood - Olympic Manor - between two schools. Children across the street from NB will not be allowed to attend the school across the street. Using NW 80th or NW 85th will be a much more logical boundary.

D6  Boundary should be 85th not 90th.

E5  E5, E6, F5, F6 large arterials making the students very distant from their school, no "neighborhood school feel when there are several close enough to feel like neighborhood schools

E5  I see there are some busy streets for children to cross and the distance is far for walking. Bike riding may not be safe.

E5  Limited crossings of Holman Road, a dangerous four-lane street. Only safe crossing is pedestrian bridge at 92nd.

E5  Very dangerous to cross Holman.

E5  There is no good way across Holman. The area east of 15th should remain in the Whittier zone

E6  This area is separated from the rest of the attendance area by large arterials, 15th Ave NW and Holman Road.

F5  There are 2 busy streets where cars go very fast that separate these areas. Too dangerous for children.
Busy streets and traffic along the route from Olympic Manor to Loyal Height.

F5

Street is too busy for children to be safe.

F5

Busy 85th St

Several dangerous roads for students to cross. Right now there are no busy streets for those children who live within the proposed change.

F5

Busy dangerous street with a lot of traffic.

F5

Busy and dangerous roads that children will have to cross.

At least THREE busy streets children from Olympic Manor would be required to cross! THIS IS VERY DANGEROUS FOR SMALL CHILDREN. WHAT ARE YOU THINKING?????

F5

Too many busy streets for small children to cross. Distances to school increased significantly for many.

F5

Dangerous and busy street

There are too many busy and dangerous roads for our young students to cross

F5

Busy street with a lot of traffic (85th) that also sometimes has decreased visibility because of the hill.
F5 Street with lots of traffic

F5 Dangerous road to cross

F5 Very bust street which child would have to cross!

F5 I don't want my child to have to cross a busy street

Children in Olympic Manor would have to cross a busy street and it would be very far for them to walk. Dangerous.

F5 Bust street

F5 There would be a dangerous road to cross
F5 students would have to cross too many dangerous roads

F5 Children would need to cross many hazardous roads.

Allow children between 90th street & 85th to attend North Beach.
The proposal to not allow children south of 90th Street not to attend North Beach is unacceptable. Sorry but the Growth Boundaries Map and Data link didn't allow me to determine just what grid you are using, but please accept my comments. Children in Olympic Manor all need to be allowed to attend North Beach, which would include N of 85th Street between 20th and 24th. This is our neighborhood school that we have supported for years and all within a 1/2 mile radius of North Beach. Please do not require children who live within two blocks of north beach to attend Loyal Heights. It doesn't make sense to break up the neighborhood in this way.

I have tried to find the map w/ the grid, without luck. What I am concerned with is the southern boundary (changing from NW 85 to NW 90th) for North Beach Elementary. This is a big mistake!!
I live across the street from the school and am desperately trying to have a child. What a kick in the teeth it would be to finally have a child and watch loads of families (who live driving distance away) park in front of my house (sometimes even blocking my driveway) to drop off their kids at a school my child wouldn’t be allowed to attend. Ridiculous.

Our house is about 2.5 blocks from North Beach Elementary school, but our house is on the south side of NW 90th St and North Beach School is on the north side of NW 90th St. If our kids were to be going to elementary school then they would have to go to Loyal Heights Elementary school which crosses 2 busy streets (NW 85th St and NW 90th St). That doesn’t make any sense!

I live in Olympic Manor, and I strongly believe that all of Olympic Manor south to 85th street should remain at North Beach Elementary School.

I recommend making everything north of Northgate Way in this area part of Oly Hills. The part south of 115th Street is mostly Target and other shopping stores with a little bit of residential. I would let that residential area go to the closer school, OH instead of OV. Again, I have no idea what the neighbors in this slice prefer.

Eastern boundary (25th Ave NE) bisects the Olympic Hills neighborhood. 30th Ave NE or Lake City Way would be more reasonable, less disruptive, boundaries.
Cedar Park boundary covers existing walk boundary of Olympic Hills Elementary

Moving the attendance boundary for Olympic View Elementary from Roosevelt to 15th Ave NE will force young children to cross the most dangerous street in the area, 15th Ave NE to attend Sacajawea. There are no sidewalks, no stoplights, very few painted crosswalks, and the traffic regularly travels over 35 mph. The City has installed an automated radar system to try and get cars to slow down. I walk along 15th every day to catch my bus to the University and shudder to think of small kids having to walk along the narrow shoulders of this road with cars whizzing by at 40 mph. This will be especially dangerous in the fall, when visibility is often poor due to the fog that often blankets this area, and during several periods each winter when snow hits this higher elevation area. It will only be a matter of when, not if, a child is hit. This risk to our children is completely unnecessary and fortunately easily preventable by leaving the western border of the Olympic View Attendance Area at 15th instead of shifting it to Roosevelt.

Neighbors that live directly across the street from the school are not included in the boundary. Including the area between 30th Ave SW & 35th Ave SW would increase neighborhood participation in the school. Walking & bicycling are safe from this area without major road crossings. Kids attending Arbor Heights from this area need bus transportation due to dangerous road crossings at Roxbury SW and 35th Ave SW and the distance. The proposed boundary puts the school at the end of the boundary rather than around it making it difficult to build a strong community with the surrounding neighborhood.

It's divided by Lake City Way until you get to 18th. South of Lake City Way is a geographic issue for Sacajawea boundary. South of Lake City Way should go to Wedgwood Elementary.
15th Ave NE and 15th Ave NE and definitely Lake City Way are geographic barriers. South of Lake City Way, East of 15th ave ne should go to Wedgwood Elementary. Lake City Way are geographic barriers. South of Lake City Way, East of 15th ave ne should go to Wedgwood Elementary.

A busy State Highway (522) that feeds Interstate Highway 5 bi-sects the proposed boundary and will force elementary students to the south of Highway 522 to cross it on surface streets when traveling to and from school and/or the homes of classmates. Prior to the 2010 school year, I believe the attendance area school for this area was Wedgwood, which did not require crossing a freeway or highway is Wedgwood. Since the 2010 boundary revision, Green Lake has been the neighborhood school for this area. While it is a bit strange for elementary students to have to cross under I-5 to reach school and the homes of their classmates, it is safer than having to cross a busy state highway that feeds I-5 in this area on surface streets.

15th Ave NE is the busiest and most dangerous street in Maple Leaf. There are no sidewalks north of NE 92nd Street. There is only one painted crosswalk (at NE 96th street) and no stoplights. Traffic regularly travels at speeds exceeding 30 mph, including city buses. This is a major safety issue for the young children who will need to cross this street to get to Sacajawea.

SR 522 feeds into the regular and express lanes of I-5 at the southern third of the proposed boundary and the safest place to cross it is on surface street at 15th Ave. NE and Lake City Way—which is the corner where the Delta 9 marijuana dispensary is located.

Crossing 15th to get to and from school is dangerous as there are no sidewalks, no stoplights, very few painted crosswalks, and the traffic regularly travels 35 mph (including city buses).
This area, located south of Lake City Way, is isolated and distant from Sacajawea school.

Hwy 522 bisects the area. This is a large and dangerous highway to cross. Though there are marked crossings, there is a long history of accidents at these intersections. My own husband was hit as a pedestrian crossing legally.

Lake City Way is a geographic barrier. South of LCW should continue to go to Wedgwood elementary.

Moving the attendance boundary for Sacajawea Elementary from 15th Ave NE to Roosevelt will force young children to cross the most dangerous street in the area, 15th Ave NE to attend Sacajawea. There are no sidewalks, no stoplights, very few painted crosswalks, and the traffic regularly travels over 35 mph. The City has installed an automated radar system to try and get cars to slow down. I walk along 15th every day to catch my bus to the University and shudder to think of small kids having to walk along the narrow shoulders of this road with cars whizzing by at 40 mph. This will be especially dangerous in the fall, when visibility is often poor due to the fog that often blankets this area, and during several periods each winter when snow hits this higher elevation area. It will only be a matter of when, not if, a child is hit. This risk to our children is completely unnecessary and fortunately easily preventable by leaving the western border of the Sacajawea Attendance Area at 15th instead of shifting it to Roosevelt.
The currently proposed northwest boundary has absolutely no bearing on local geography; whereas the proposed revision to the western portion of the northwest boundary set forth in the attached follows the clear and unmistakable natural geography of the area (the crest of the hill at 55th Ave SW). Quite frankly, its hard to see any logic to the current boundary, which appears entirely arbitrary and needlessly disrupts the current Schmitz Park Elementary designation in the affected area. Some of us in the affected area have given our time, heart and money to this school (notwithstanding the current state of the facilities) and the proposed re-adjustment is just a unnecessary slap in the face.

The corner at 55th and Charleston and the blocks southeast seem to be inappropriately assigned based on the neighborhood and topography. South of Charleston and east of 55th are areas that are very close and very walkable to the Genesee Hill School.

Very short/easy walk to SPE @ Genesee Hill, yet is slated for Alki Elem, which is not walkable due to topography.

This area is proposed to be reassigned to Alki Elementary school even though there is a large hill (runs down Hillcrest Ave) separating this residential area from Alki. This area is walkable to Schmitz Park, not Alki.
56th Ave SW between Genesee & Dakota. Impassable 300’+ drop/bluff/natural area JUST WEST of 56th should be the logical boundary, not arbitrary 55th Ave SW, which proposal actually splits off the last western-most street up here at the top of Genesee Hill - 56th Ave SW/Hilcrest Ave SW. This proposal, rather than make a natural grouping with Alki boundary area, effectively makes this small street+2spurs a very small “public school island” - due to the geographic obstacles (300’+ bluff, natural area, no through streets, access from top of Genesee Hill only.) Previous generations of families from this neighborhood attended Genesee Hill - logically. If capacity is a concern, addressing the proposed far-flung eastern boundary makes a lot more sense than carving off one small - the last - western street up here atop the Hill and forcing it into a truly challenging, un-geographically natural assignment.

Those just outside the zone (including the current Schmitz Park site) are surrounded on all sides by Schmitz Park zone and within easy walking distance of both present and future Schmitz Park. Putting them in Alki puts them out of walking range.

Change of the line from going east down Hanford and then heading south on 40th, to instead go east from California on Hinds and then jog North on 40th to Hanford and then south along the green belt. The greenbelt and the arterial provide a natural boundary

By moving Schmitz Park to the new school in Genesee Hill, the northern parts of the boundary feel part of a whole different neighborhood. Instead of walking just a small fraction of a mile to Lafayette, they would walk out of their Admiral Junction neighborhood three or four times the distance to the new Schmitz school.
The green belt at the north end of 35th and the arterial at Hanford provide a natural northeast corner of the attendance area. Rather than using a Hinds and 40th Ave SW

Boyer Ave E as the northern boundary for Stevens makes no sense. This area of Montlake is geographically separate from Cap Hill with Interlaken Park smack in the middle of the two neighborhoods. It feels very unnatural to have the boundary moved so far north.

adding a neighborhood to Stevens at the expense of another neighborhood

Boundary should be 15th, a busy arterial street. Splits a very vibrant and cohesive community into two groups and assigns the students to yet another school. D7 and E7 should be kept together, and if there is no other choice but to split the area, divide it along 18th ave from Cherry to at least Thomas, if not further north.

Required to cross busy Rainier Avenue S, a natural boundary line, in order to get to school.

Rainier Avenue is a major street with significant cross traffic during morning and afternoon commute hours.
One of the only ways to cross Rainier avenue in this area is through a six way intersection requiring crossing three cross walks. Two of the intersecting streets are four lanes (and two of the three streets have three lanes of traffic entering the intersection) and have a very high volume of traffic. The length of time required to wait for three crossing lights and the poor safety of doing this make crossing this very undesirable.

the intersection of Rainier and 23rd is very unsafe to cross, has no pedestrian protection against cars coming on to 23rd, only side walk on one side of 23rd and it is overgrown with bushes.

This is a natural boundary, 23rd Ave & Rainier is the bottom of Beacon Hill. You leave Rainier Valley and enter another neighborhood. It's a cracy busy intersection. There's also a very large hill.

Children that could walk to Beacon Hill Elementary should not need to cross Rainier Avenue to get to Thurgood Marshall.

The intersection of 23rd Ave S and Rainier Ave S is a major intersection.

Three and four lane road going across a bridge over I-5 and Rail Yard. I-5 offramp. Heavy freight vehicles going up Albro. Exposure to heights and wind. No pedestrian buffer from sidewalk to traffic.

Orcas Street is a major thoroughfare with no sidewalks on the west side of Beacon. It is a natural boundary, with twisting roads south suddenly grinding out at the major thoroughfare of Orcas.

S. Graham Street is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5.

S Graham is a very busy arterial that leads directly onto I-5.

Graham Street is a busy arterial that leads directly to I-5.
S Graham street is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5

Graham Street is too busy. It is the main arterial leading to the I5 on ramp at Swift.

S Graham I a very busy arterial that must be crossed by a good deal of the zone.

S. Graham Street is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5. Graham Street is a super busy arterial. It turns into onramp for I5 North right there.

Bringing a whole neighborhood of kids east/south to Van assett is ridiculous. It's too far to walk.

The area in question is bordered by 20th Ave NE, 35th Ave NE, 75th Ave NE and 68th Ave NE. Children in this section must cross the busiest part of NE 75th to get to Wedgwood Elementary. If this section can't be incorporated into Bryant attendance area, then adding it to View Ridge should be the 2nd choice, so that children don't have to cross a dangerous street to get to school. Two pedestrians were killed on NE 75th in 2013. Improvements to the street were made in response to that incident. However, NE 75th is still a barrier separating neighborhoods on either side. Traffic moves at high speed, with few lighted crosswalks. Traffic lights are located near the tops or bottoms of steep hills, which makes pedestrians less likely to go out of their way to use them.

The area of View Ridge attendance area which projects north of 95th Street is isolated and distant from View Ridge School. It should be assigned to John Rogers.
NE 95th St is a busy street that creates a natural boundary. The neighborhood to the north is currently split by the line running down 40 Ave NE. And the neighborhood to the north of 97th is also split by the line running on NE 100th St.

The intersection of Holman Rd N and 3rd Ave NW is a very busy intersection, crossing multiple lanes of traffic. I do not think it's a safe intersection for elementary school kids to be crossing at especially with no crossing guards. Plus there are no suitable/city crosswalks on either side of 3rd Ave NW once you cross Holman Rd N.

Why is 18th ave ne a boundary for Wedgwood Elementary? It make much more sense for 15th ave ne and Lake City Way to be boundaries.

Currently all kids on 18th St NE go to Wedgwood. The new boundary would send kids on the west side of this street to Sacajawea and kids on the east side of this street to Wedgwood. This cuts an existing neighborhood of kids who live on the same street in half. Why can't the boundary be drawn down the alley between 18th St. NE and 17th St NE?

A more natural boundary for Wedgwood is 95th Ave NE. Area D3 could be assigned to Sacajawea. There is a light at NE 98th Street and Lake City Way.

A more natural boundary would be 75th street. Kids from this block will have to cross both 25th and 75th to get to Wedgewood. I think that 65th would be a safer cross street for kids (going to Bryant) since there's always a cross guard at Assumption. It's also less busy than 75th and considering the serious issues that we've had on 75th, I'd like to keep my elementary kids off that street.
Two pedestrians were killed on NE 75th in 2013. Improvements to the street were made in response to that incident. However, NE 75th is still a barrier separating neighborhoods on either side. Traffic moves at high speed, with few lighted crosswalks. Traffic lights are located near the tops or bottoms of steep hills, which makes pedestrians less likely to go out of their way to use them.

E6 75th Street should be the natural boundary. This street is extremely dangerous to cross for young children. South of 75th should remain in Bryant elementary boundaries.

It is recommended that the boundary be extended to included homes south of N 70th Street and west of Highway 99. Highway 99 (where it runs adjacent to Greenlake) is a geographic boundary that is a natural edge to the West Woodland Boundary.

C6 Using 6th Ave. NW (a non-arterial route) as the dividing line between Whittier and Greenwood and West Woodland does not make sense. 8th Ave. NW is the natural (and quite wide, with an island in the middle) arterial. Makes sense from a neighborhood identity point of view as well as from a transportation point of view.

D3 The southern end of the border should be extended south to 48th St. The 50th St border seems too close to the school and excludes the area immediately south that are within walkable distances.

Raised median strip along 8th avenue makes for difficult and dangerous west-bound crossing of arterial at these cross streets.

E3 Using 6th Ave. NW (a non-arterial route) as the dividing line between Whittier and Greenwood and West Woodland does not make sense. 8th Ave. NW is the natural (and quite wide, with an island in the middle) arterial. Makes sense from a neighborhood identity point of view as well as from a transportation point of view.
Between Meridian and Aurora and south of NE 115th Street is Washelli Cemetery. There is a little slice of south of NE 115th Street between Meridian and I-5 that looks residential and is very close to Northgate Elementary. I have no idea what the neighbors want, but I would recommend making that little slice part of Northgate Elementary since it is close, small and bounded by I-5.
[Q1]: Issue #2  Select the appropriate grid designation

D3  see above (3)

E3

Doesn't make sense to have any area east of Schmitz Park be included in Alki boundaries

D2

I'm closer to Schmitz park than Alki for waking distance

E7

Unsafe crossing at Charleston Street and 55th with a blind corner and no cross walk.

D6

D6 - steep hill (12% grade) provides a natural barrier (non-walkable / unsafe) for children to walk to Alki Elementary

E6

Large hill with steep grade provides a natural barrier to getting to Alki Elementary

E6

Large hill with steep grade provides a natural barrier to getting to Alki Elementary

E6

Large hill with steep grade provides a natural barrier to getting to Alki Elementary
Same issues as E6. Three blocks from the Schmitz, the top of a 300+ ft hill down an arterial to Alki.

Not a geographical issue, but there are many native Chinese families who live in this area (on 17th and 18th in particular) as opposed to the top of the hill). Without this area and its native speakers, the Mandarin program at Beacon Hill International may not be viable.

Moving the border from Rainier to 19th will cause peers/friends/neighbors to attend different schools. In the interest of community (and childhood development), it is crucial to have children on neighboring blocks attend the same school. This proposed boundary is arbitrary and robs children of safety and staying in the neighborhood school. The 19th Avenue boundary seems drawn without consideration for the geographic location and neighborhood school plan. This comment also applies to G5.

G5
Families residing in F5 live in "North" Beacon Hill. McClellan is a clear boundary between "North" Beacon Hill and "Mid" Beacon Hill. Other local features that define "North" Beacon Hill are the Red Apple commercial hub and the Light Rail station (both just North of McClellan). As a neighborhood, McClellan is an obvious border defining the North Beacon Hill area. Zone 5 is North of McClellan and the BHIS boundary should remain there. This is a very dangerous and busy street that children should not have to cross on foot. I am not comfortable crossing this street during busy morning hours with the traffic that passes fast on McClellan which connects Rainier Valley to SODO/downtown.

F5

The families that live in the areas of F5 and G5 are very clearly part of the North Beacon Hill community. These families should continue to have their children attend Beacon Hill International School. If you have ever driven through the neighborhood it is quite clear that McClellan is a clear dividing line between the north and central areas of Beacon Hill. McClellan is one of the busiest arterial streets in the area and small kids should not be expected to cross such a dangerous street every day to and from school. This along with the commercial hub of the Red Apple and Light Rail Station offer a clear landmark/boundary that should be taken into consideration when applying boundaries for Beacon Hill International. The proposed boundary change for the areas of F5 and G5 will put our kids in danger having to cross McClellan every day and will divide communities.

F5
There is a chunk of kids here that would be forced to cross a VERY busy and dangerous street (Rainier Ave S) to send them to Thurgood Marshall when they could much more safely walk just a couple blocks to BHIS.
F3
Should include families between NE 68th and NE 70th, as they live in the Bryant neighborhood. There do not appear to be any visual boundaries for the northern boundary. Instead, the neighborhood seems to be arbitrarily split. I believe 75th Street would offer a much more obvious boundary as that is an extremely busy road and thus

E3
already separates the neighbors. North boundary should extend to NE 75th between 20th Ave NE and 35th Ave NE so that children in these blocks do not have to cross the busiest part of NE 75th to go to school. Two pedestrians were killed on NE 75th in 2013. Improvements to the street were made in response to that incident. However, NE 75th is still a barrier separating neighborhoods on either side. Traffic moves at high speed, with few lighted crosswalks. Traffic lights are located near the tops or bottoms of steep hills, which makes pedestrians less likely to go out of their way to use them.

D2
Why EXTEND the east boundary?
That makes no sense. You're just lopping off View Ridge students, making them cross additional busy streets.

Attendance area divide by major state highway (Lake City Way.)
No crosswalk at NE 135th St.
Need to walk up to NE 137th St then backtrack back to NE 135th St.

The area between NE 125th and NE 120th St, between Lake City Way to the west and 35th Ave NE to the east, is cut off from the bulk of the Cedar Park attendance area by NE 125th Street.
Jig-Joggy streets: Because of Green Lake, we don't have a good street grid. We'd have to make a lot of stops and turns in tight streets.
we are trying to make sure that the boundary for greenlake and Hamilton is not 16th ave ne. we are sure that it is supposed to be 15th ave NE, we believe this is the street, the maps are confusing.

If you put my family at Graham Hill or if we remain at Hawthorne, we are being redistricted to Aki Kurose instead of Mercer Middle School. Mercer is a great school - Aki Kurose has major problems.
Houses along NE 98th Street, between NE 35th Street and 40th Ave NE, are backed to the south by a ravine area, with no through access to NE 95th Street. 40th Ave NE is not a through street between NE 97th St and NE 98th Street. The homes along NE 98th Street, between 35th Ave NE and 40th, are assigned to View Ridge, but are isolated from VR by the ravine south of NE 98th. This block (from the south side of 98th, north to 100th) should be assigned to John Rogers. Preferably, all addresses north of 95th, between 35th Ave NE and Sand Point Way should be assigned to John Rogers, as NE 95th Street is a logical boundary.
Columbian Way/Alaska is an UNSAFE street to cross for children. Beacon Ave is much safer, as it is only one lane in this area.

McClellan St is a clear boundary/dividing line between the north and central Beacon Hill areas. Bringing the kids from the areas of F5 and G5 would put those kids in danger forcing them to cross the busy arterial of McClellan St every day. Also it will fracture the community of North Beacon Hill that is being built. If any changes are to be made then it should be in the areas of C&D 6 that follow the natural boundary of Lander St. Speaking specifically to the areas of Beacon Hill areas F&G5 should be left in the area of Beacon Hill Interntional. Building strong communities is important and respect needs to be given to the natural boundary of McClellan and the commercial hub of the Red Apple, restaurants and light rail station. I would not want to put any kids in danger either by making them cross such a busy street to and from school.
McClellan is a natural community border that divides "north" beacon hill from "mid" beacon hill. Kimball is clearly situated in the "mid" beacon Hill Neighborhood. Families residing North of McClellan should not be forced to cross this major arterial on foot which connects Rainier Valley to SODO. This is not safe for children. Secondly, Kimball's current boundary circles nicely around the major local feature of Jefferson Park and the Golf course which are strongly associated with "mid" beacon Hill. F5 does not fit in with this community in that it is North of the major defining boundary of McClellan and is not close to the local feature which defines "mid" beacon hill of Jefferson Park/Golf course.

It would make much more logical sense to rezone this section from the new Schmitz Park building and add it to Lafayette since they are literally just a few blocks away from the Lafayette campus.

It is just weird to have to drive through "bryant" ares for families to get to Laurelhurst. If the bottom of Bryant is Bryant, then Universtiy Park should be Bryant as well.
19th Ave which runs along the east side of this section of the boundary goes right down the middle of a residential area. (Further north, 19th Ave is a thoroughfare, but not from Union to Cherry.) It seems like an arbitrary line to draw that dissects the neighborhood.

No direct transit considering the distance for families.

Boundary divides a cohesive community along 19th. Why not 23rd to the east, or 14th to the west?

there are huge geographical boundaries to cross in 85th street and 24th ave. so, for those who live north of 85th street and/or east of 24th, crossing one or both streets are tremendously unsafe and difficult to do. the only real option to do it safely and lawfully is at the intersection of 84th st and 24th ave.

North of 85th. outside of walk zone would require bus transportation
D7  see above (3)  E7

24th avenue is another busy street for families on 89th and 18th nw to have to walk and bike to school

C6 NW 85th is a natural boundary. It used to be the King county line, and the north boundary for the city of Seattle. That is why there are no sidewalks north of 85th.

E5 Same issue as Issue #1 described above for a different area of the map.  E4

F4 Unsafe passage across 85th for children north of Loyal Heights.  D4

F4 Major arterial.  E5
The kids living in the actual North Beach neighborhood would now be assigned to Loyal Heights. There are no sidewalks north of NW 85th St all the way to Puget Sound west of 24th Ave NW. To top if off, D3 is a steep downhill from Loyal Heights.

D3

Splits up too many neighborhoods.

D6

Too far for children to walk.

F5

attempted solicitation-with children present, during school hours. Splitting a cohesive neighborhood along 19th. Why not 23rd, which would prevent children on the west side of 23rd from having to cross a dangerous and very busy 4-lane? Not to mention avoiding the historically troubled intersection of 23rd and Union.

C4

C5

C6
D4  Intersection MLK
The northernmost boundary seems arbitrary in that it bisects a neighborhood; seems like moving the boundary north to follow Lk Washington Blvd all the way to Madison makes much more sense geographically.

E3  Union and MLK

Jefferson Park: This park makes sense as a dividing line for elementary schools, as it is so vast and a large natural barrier for the neighborhood in general. However, children previously assigned to Kimball (and before that Beacon Hill) in the area north of Spokane St and West of Beacon Ave will now have to cross Jefferson Park to get to Maple.

C4  This is a barrier.
students from this area could not walk safely to school - they would have to go on very narrow streets with no sidewalks (13th and 14th in the block north of Spokane) and cross a major busy intersection where two major streets (Spokane and Columbia Way) merge into I-5 on and off ramps. This runs counter to the state priority to 'maximize walkability'. The new boundaries carve out a group of homes north of Spokane street and send them out of their neighborhood - where their neighbors know them and keep them safe, to a school nearly two miles away (where they would have to cross two busy four lane streets and pass through unfamiliar neighborhoods -- as opposed to allowing them to stay in their neighborhood and attend one of the two elementary schools that are actually in easy walking distance and only a half a miles from their home. This makes no sense. Spokane is a geographic boundary that divides beacon hill neighborhoods.

F2

Issue described above includes F2

I can't even see Van Asselt on the map from my house. You want us to walk the length of Boeing field and then over. You want us to drive farther away in a car-centric city. Georgetown as some of the heaviest truck traffic and you want us to drive in it more then we need too. Let my child walk to school!
I-5 is a natural boundary. It is an interstate. The second way to cross Spokane is to use the cross walks at 15th Ave S and Spokane. This intersection has a very high volume of traffic, and frequently cars going west will fill up all space on the downslope to the next intersection and block the crosswalks (and intersection). Students couldn't continue south on the west side of 15th because the sidewalk terminates at the intersection of 15th with Columbian Way with no crosswalks. They would instead have to be on the east side, but there is a 'slip-through' lane with no crosswalk that allows cars traveling north on 15th to merge with Spokane without having to stop.

Beacon Ave is a very busy road in this area. Would be easier for these students to go up a back street like 14th to BHIS than cross over Beacon Ave to go to Kimball.

Beacon Hill park is a vast park that also creates a natural boundary between our neighborhood and the neighborhoods to the south. Columbian way leading to I-5 on ramp, another unsafe crossing.
C5 and 6 North of Madison will have to cross some arterial no matter what school they attend. North Madison and north of Aloha would is easier to walk to Stevens, even though there is a hill. South of Aloha could walk to either Stevens or Lowell, Stevens is a little less challenging regarding hill. Students also would not need to cross 12th and 15th Avenues, which are quite busy. 12th can be really difficult.
drawing the boundary at 90th street would burden the olympic manor community because it divides this neighborhood in half. because it is north of 85th street, this neighborhood is assimilated to the local culture of north beach, rather than loyal heights. also, for those who live north of 85th street, this imposes a geographic barrier as it is difficult and unsafe to cross this street. it seems to make much more sense to draw the boundary that divides NB from LH at 85th street.

The boundaries cut the OLYMPIC MANOR COMMUNITY IN HALF. For instance, my home is 8776 22nd Ave NW. We have walked the 2-1/2 blocks to North Beach for 4 years. With the proposed boundaries, we’d go 1 mile away to Loyal Heights -- and not be with our neighbor kids. A better, more natural boundary would be NW 85th.

New boundary would result in young children crossing three major arterials to get to school (24th, 85th, and 80th).

Olympic Manor community should be in only one elementary school cachement area to not split community and children.
The kids south of Holman Rd and east of 15th Ave NW will need to be bused to North Beach due to crossing several arterials. Why not keep kids who can walk safely to North Beach in the boundary and bus these kids to Loyal Heights since they will be bused anyway? You'll be double-busing under the current proposal. You should send kids in this quadrant (south of Holman Rd and east of 15th Ave NW) to Viewlands since 8th Ave NW has a really nice bypass underneath Holman Rd., behind QFC and right to Viewlands. It would make one bus route up 8th Ave NW really easy and quick.

This general region is actually called North Beach, need I say more.
Other existing organizations currently use 85th rather than 90th as a border for household participation. For example, the boundary for NW Little League Baseball/Softball is for households north of 85th (not 90th). In fact, the existing boundary for Ballard High School is at 85th and not 90th. We should not create confusion for children in the areas south of 90th and north of 85th who would now be sent to Loyal Heights Elementary with neighbors to the south, but would then be required to go to high school with homes to the north. This same problem exists for students in the North Beach Neighborhood north of 85th and west of 24th who would go to elementary school at Loyal Heights but then not go to the same high school as many of these elementary school friends. Consistency is very important for the development of lasting social connections between children which contribute to their sense of well-being and in turn to the community.
2) Similarly, the cul-de-sac streets that only have outlets onto 24th Ave. NW between NW 90th St. and NW 100th St. should be assigned to North Beach. It doesn't make sense to have them exit their streets onto 24th Ave. NW and drive by North Beach on their way to Loyal Heights about a mile away. 

Just seems odd to make people who live in the North Beach community not be able to go to North Beach Elementary. The school is in between the Olympic Manor and North Beach communities, and it should be inclusive of such nearby residents. 

Children go from walking across 2 arterial lanes, and 100 ft to school, to walking 1/2 mile along an arterial with no sidewalk (requiring crossing that arterial no less than twice, and then crossing 4 additional arterial with no sidewalks on two corners.
Homes on the 24th Ave NW corridor, north of 85th NW and to the west of NB Elem are no longer assigned to the school directly across the street. There are no safe sidewalks on 24th NW between 85th NW and 100th.

C6, D6, C5, D5, B6 are all part of the same neighborhood. 85th street is way too busy to have kids crossing the street. It is too far. It doesn't geographically make sense to have kids so close to North Bean and already entrenched in the school to be move to another spot.

Moving children across NW 85th is perilous, people drive on 85th like its aurora ave, it is extremely challenging to cross this street with children and I would never want to send my children to cross there without a guard. Drivers have been hostile to me and my children even at the light on 24th and 85th.

New boundary along NW 90th St cuts through Olympic Manor development, a historically significant neighborhood that has always been in the North Beach zone.

Parents of children attend North Beach School park in our neighborhood, but the children that live in those house would not be able to attend there school that they can see.
Design intent - NB Elementary was originally developed as a key component of the Olympic Manor neighborhood. If design intent is respected, the boundary should circle our development and not cut it into pieces.

Forces children in the neighborhood to cross 2 busy streets to attend a school 9 blocks away putting their safety at risk.

Houses a block away from north beach cannot go to the school but will have to cross two dangerous streets to get to loyal heights.

In order for half of Olympic Manor residents to attend Loyal Heights, they would need to walk across the very congested and fast-paced NW 85th St. This just is not a necessary risk for these young children to take. Especially at school-start time, there is great congestion at 24th and 85th St. with cars rushing to the two close-by schools, and crossing this intersection by foot, or car, should be avoided at this time of day.

Houses that are adjacent to a public school should attend that school.

Safety issue of forcing the current children north of NW 85th St. and east of 24th Ave. NW to have to walk and cross 3 major arterial streets, (1) NW 85th St., (2) 24th Ave. NW, and (3) NW 80th St. in order to get to Loyal Heights Elementary school. Currently, our children can walk from our address, 8748 18th Ave. NW, down NW 90th St. to North Beach, which is a SUBSTANTIALLY safer route.
Children should not have to cross 85th, a dangerous, busy street when they can go to North Beach Elem. The neighborhood of Olympic Manor should be able to continue having North Beach as our local school.

North Beach school was cut out of the Olympic Manor development in 1954 and now you want to cut Olympic Manor out of our community school. You really do not known what you are doing, do you?

Elementary students should not have to cross busy 85th St. And 24th does not have sidewalks or adequate area beside the road for children to walk.

You've already taken away our neighborhood high school (Ballard) and now you want to take away our neighborhood grade school as well?!?

The proposed boundary line will disrupt an existing community dynamic. It arbitrarily cuts in half a cohesive community of households whose children play together and consider themselves part of the Olympic Manor/North Beach neighborhood. NW 85th Street is a more logical hard line than 90th for placing the Loyal Heights/North Beach boundary, as it more accurately follows the actual residential character of the constituent communities.
Really? Denying access to the school that is right across the street? Seems nonsensical even when trying to achieve numbers. D6

This is silly. Kids who live next door to North Beach would have to go a long way to Loyal Heights.

D6

Many streets east of Holman lack sidewalks. Sidewalks that do exist are north-south on arterials.

E5

The far North East corner of the area is actually pretty far to the school. The way the residential streets work out, it takes a long time to get to the school.

F3

Boundary lines are drawn right to the school property. Properties located as close as across the street from the school are not included in the attendance area. This does not seem to be a logical place for the boundary.

C6

D6

Neighborhoods are strengthened when children go to the local school. Don’t split up the Olympic Manor neighborhood.

D5
This proposal breaks apart a neighborhood school. This is wrong. Kids in Olympic Manor should be able to go to their neighborhood school.

Parents chose a local school so children can go to school and grow up with neighbors. This change would negate that.

Not a good idea to split up the neighborhood of Olympic Manor. I wonder if this will decrease property values for Olympic Manor.

This splits the Olympic Manor neighborhood down the middle. Strong neighborhoods are a great asset in Seattle and the is a negative factor.

This is too far for little ones in Olympic Manor to walk. Children will have to leave their established neighborhood.

ALSO SEVERAL BUSY STREETS FOR CHILDREN TO CROSS!!! All of Olympic Manor should attend North Beach Elementary!

This would split the Olympic Manor neighborhood to different schools. Families choose the local school so their children will make friends in the neighborhood. This change would negate that for Olympic Manor families.

All of Olympic Manor’s students should attend North Beach Elementary. Do not break up/divide our neighborhood.

This boundary change makes no sense. This means that children living just a block away from North Beach would need to go to a school far away. Changes should at least make sense. Split the schools at 85th. If you need to make changes, move students who are already far from North Beach.
This proposal splits a development in half. Half of the Olympic Manor will have to go to a school that is far away.

Keep Olympic Manor with North Beach

Many children would be forced to go outside their neighborhood for school.

I want my daughter to go to school in her own neighborhood. That's why the local school was chosen. People move here because of the school and the fact that it is walking or biking distance. This change would divide the neighborhood.

All of Olympic Manor should go to the same school.

All of Olympic Manor should go to the same local school

All of Olympic Manor should be allowed to attend North Beach Elementary so the entire neighborhood can stay together as a group.

The proposed boundary changes will force small children to walk across a busy 85th to get to school. It is a Safety issue for the children and our concern is for the walk along streets where no one knows the children should they need to get help.

North Beach Elementary was supposed to be the community school for Olympic Manor. It's crazy to deny children that live across the street from a school from attending it. The boundary should encircle a school. It makes sense for children to go to the closest school they live near period.

It makes little sense to move the South boundary to 90th and will present greater safety concerns.
Eastern boundary (25th Ave NE) bisects the Olympic Hills neighborhood. 30th Ave NE or Lake City Way would be more reasonable, less disruptive, boundaries.
Moving the attendance boundary for Olympic View Elementary from Roosevelt to 15th Ave NE will force young children to cross the most dangerous street in the area, 15th Ave NE to attend Sacajawea. There are no sidewalks, no stoplights, very few painted crosswalks, and the traffic regularly travels over 35 mph. The City has installed an automated radar system to try and get cars to slow down. I walk along 15th every day to catch my bus to the University and shudder to think of small kids having to walk along the narrow shoulders of this road with cars whizzing by at 40 mph. This will be especially dangerous in the fall, when visibility is often poor due to the fog that often blankets this area, and during several periods each winter when snow hits this higher elevation area. It will only be a matter of when, not if, a child is hit. This risk to our children is completely unnecessary and fortunately easily preventable by leaving the western border of the Olympic View Attendance Area at 15th instead of shifting it to Roosevelt.

Delridge Way SW would be a good boundary line. It's a dangerous busy street for kids to cross without crossing guards.
A busy State Highway (522) that feeds Interstate Highway 5 bisects the proposed boundary and will force elementary students to the south of Highway 522 to cross it on surface streets when traveling to and from school and/or the homes of classmates. Prior to the 2010 school year, I believe the attendance area school for this area was Wedgwood, which did not require crossing a freeway or highway is Wedgwood. Since the 2010 boundary revision, Green Lake has been the neighborhood school for this area. While it is a bit strange for elementary students to have to cross under I-5 to reach school and the homes of their classmates, it is safer than having to cross a busy state highway that feeds I-5 in this area on surface streets.

15th Ave NE is the busiest and most dangerous street in Maple Leaf. There are no sidewalks north of NE 92nd Street. There is only one painted crosswalk (at NE 96th street) and no stoplights. Traffic regularly travels at speeds exceeding 30 mph, including city buses. This is a major safety issue for the young children who will need to cross this street to get to Sacajawea.

SR 522 feeds into the regular and express lanes of I-5 at the southern third of the proposed boundary and the safest place to cross it is on surface street at 15th Ave. NE and Lake City Way—which is the corner where the Delta 9 marijuana dispensary is located.
This area, located south of Lake City Way, is isolated, and distant from Sacajawea school.

Moving the attendance boundary for Sacajawea Elementary from 15th Ave NE to Roosevelt will force young children to cross the most dangerous street in the area, 15th Ave NE to attend Sacajawea. There are no sidewalks, no stoplights, very few painted crosswalks, and the traffic regularly travels over 35 mph. The City has installed an automated radar system to try and get cars to slow down. I walk along 15th every day to catch my bus to the University and shudder to think of small kids having to walk along the narrow shoulders of this road with cars whizzing by at 40 mph. This will be especially dangerous in the fall, when visibility is often poor due to the fog that often blankets this area, and during several periods each winter when snow hits this higher elevation area. It will only be a matter of when, not if, a child is hit. This risk to our children is completely unnecessary and fortunately easily preventable by leaving the western border of the Sacajawea Attendance Area at 15th instead of shifting it to Roosevelt.
The currently proposed northwest boundary has absolutely no bearing on local geography; whereas the proposed revision to the northern portion of the northwest boundary set forth in the attached follows the clear and unmistakable natural geography of the area (Schmitz Park - i.e., the school's namesake). Again, its hard to see any logic to the current boundary, which appears entirely arbitrary and needlessly disrupts the current Schmitz Park Elementary designation in the affected area. Some of us in the affected area have given our time, heart and money to this school (notwithstanding the current state of the facilities) and the proposed re-adjustment is just a unnecessary slap in the face.

Beach drive and nearby areas would fit better with Alki based on the local topography. Short and mostly flat walk to SPE @ Genesee Hill, but not walkable to Alki Elem, where much of the area is slated to attend.

This area is walkable to Schmitz Park, not Alki. It is separated from Alki by a large Hill (runs down Hillcrest).
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>Same as D2</td>
<td>C3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the goal is to provide balance between the two elementary schools it would seem more reasonable to incorporate section D2 since it is quite literally 2 blocks from Lafayette.

The western boundary along 14th Ave bisects a residential neighborhood. One block east is 15th Ave E, the major commercial thoroughfare through the neighborhood. Makes much more sense to have the boundary run along 15th Ave E. The houses west of 15th Ave E are much closer to Lowell anyway.

Boundary should be 15th, a busy arterial street.

Same as above.

Required to cross busy Rainier Avenue S, a natural boundary line, in order to get to school.

Rainier Avenue is a major street with significant cross traffic during morning and afternoon commute hours.
This area is high on Beacon Hill, so requires a steep climb to the west or gentler but very long climb to the north. Only one of the streets (23rd Ave) to the north of D6 on the way to Thurgood Marshall has a sidewalk. Requiring descending on hill and climbing another on the way to school adds to the inability to walk to school.

D6 D5

there is no barrier at 21st ave, yet this is the edge of the zone, separating people from their neighbors arbitrarily.

D6 E6

Big hill, no sidewalks to get down. Thurgood Marshall families in this zone are carved out from the rest of their recognized neighborhood: Beacon Hill.

D6 E6

Children that could walk to Kimball should not need to cross Rainier Avenue to get to Thurgood Marshall.

E6

Walking down S McClellan is a steep hill. A student would need to walk down the hill to get to MLK or Rainier to travel North/South.

E6

Hazardous Waste treatment facility "Mar Vac" Street crossing across four lane freight corridor. Heavy traffic.

D2 E3

S. Graham Street is a busy arterial leading directly onto I-5

E2 E2

Comet Lodge Cemetery is a local feature
The area in question is bordered by 20th Ave NE, 35th Ave NE, 75th Ave NE and 68th Ave NE. Children in this section must cross the busiest part of NE 75th to get to Wedgwood Elementary. If this section can't be incorporated into Bryant attendance area, then adding it to View Ridge should be the 2nd choice, so that children don't have to cross a dangerous street to get to school. Two pedestrians were killed on NE 75th in 2013. Improvements to the street were made in response to that incident. However, NE 75th is still a barrier separating neighborhoods on either side. Traffic moves at high speed, with few lighted crosswalks. Traffic lights are located near the tops or bottoms of steep hills, which makes pedestrians less likely to go out of their way to use them.

The area of View Ridge attendance area which projects north of 95th Street is isolated and distant from View Ridge School. It should be assigned to John Rogers.
40 Ave NE dead ends at a wooded ravine just after NE 97th St / before NE 98th St. If the boundary is kept past the natural boundary of NE 95th St (as it is now), at a minimum it should stop at 98th - include all houses on both sides of 97th, but not include any on 98th - that is a different neighborhood completely.

The intersection of Holman Rd N and Greenwood is a very busy intersection, crossing multiple lanes of traffic.

A more natural boundary for Wedgwood is 95th Ave NE. Area E3 could be assigned to Sacajawea. There is a light at NE 98th Street and Lake City Way.

A more natural boundary would be 75th street.
Two pedestrians were killed on NE 75th in 2013. Improvements to the street were made in response to that incident. However, NE 75th is still a barrier separating neighborhoods on either side. Traffic moves at high speed, with few lighted crosswalks. Traffic lights are located near the tops or bottoms of steep hills, which makes pedestrians less likely to go out of their way to use them.

Same as above: Raised median strip along 8th avenue makes for difficult and dangerous west-bound crossing of arterial at these cross streets.

see above (3)
85th Street is a major arterial. It seems like that would be a good dividing line between Bagley and WP instead of 88th. Again, not sure what the neighbors prefer, but parts of that neighborhood are a few blocks from WP.
[Q1]: Issue #4 Select the appropriate grid designation

Describe the issue:

see above (3) F3 see above (3)

Poor sidewalk connections on Charleston Street making the steep grade difficult to walk.
Rainier is a very busy street. One that does not support walkable neighborhood schools. It seems dangerous to have children cross such busy street to walk out of their neighborhood to attend school. Students west of Rainier should logically attend a Beacon Hill school and students east of Rainier attend a Central District school.

I-90/Jose Rizal bridge should be the boundary. There is no benefit of separating neighbors/peers from attending the same neighborhood school.
There is a chunk of kids here that would be forced to cross a VERY busy and dangerous street (Rainier Ave S) to send them to Thurgood Marshall when the could much more safely wall jsut a couple blocks to Kimball. D4

The entire Industrial area CDE 3456 artificially makes the BHIS territory seem huge when in fact it is tiny.
Why have students cross 75th where the Schulte family was killed by a drunk driver and ADD to the crowding on 75th at Eckstein? There's less traffic south toward Bryant, which is where these kids CURRENTLY attend school.

Attendance area divide by major state highway (Lake City Way.)  D6  Attendance area split by major arterial (NE 125th St.)  C6

The area south of NE 125th St, between Hiram Place to the west and Lake City Way to the east, is cut off from the bulk of the Cedar Park attendance area. Of special note, Hiram Place is not a through street. It dead ends between 25th Ave NE and 28th ave NE, making this a very awkward boundary.  C5

The boundary between Cedar Park and Olympic Hills attendance areas, along 25th Ave NE, bisects the Olympic Hills neighborhood, and is only 2 blocks east of Olympic Hills Elementary. Lake City Way NE or 30th Ave NE would be a more appropriate western boundary line for Cedar Park.  C4
The 5-way Intersection of Doom out in front of Starbucks and Green Lake: You know it, you've met friends there, everybody waves, chats, shoots-the-breeze out in front of this Starbucks. Living in the area, we avoid that intersection at all costs. F6

Giant New Apartment Building and PCC: There is going to be a lot of rambling pedestrians and Prius parking issues in a few tight streets. E4
see above (3)    D6    see above (3)
Houses along NE 98th Street, between NE 35th Street and 40th Ave NE, are backed to the south by a ravine area, with no through access to NE 95th Street. 40th Ave NE is not a through street between NE 97th St and NE 98th Street. The homes along NE 98th Street, between 35th Ave NE and 40th, are assigned to View Ridge, but are isolated from VR by the ravine south of NE 98th. This block (from the south side of 98th, north to 100th) should be assigned to John Rogers. Preferably, all addresses north of 95th, between 35th Ave NE and Sand Point Way should be assigned to John Rogers, as NE 95th Street is a logical boundary.

The boundary between John Rogers and Wedgwood in this area apparently runs between backyards of houses along the west side of 32nd Ave NE (between NE 100th and NE 105th). This is an awkward boundary. A more appropriate boundary may be to assign the east side of 32nd to John Rogers, and the west side of 32nd to Wedgwood (or maybe to Sacajawea, if boundaries are re-configured).
D5 and D6. None is going to walk from downtown.

Boundary is along 14th. Why not 15th which a main arterial road? G5

there are huge geographical boundaries to cross in 85th street and 24th ave. so, for those who live north of 85th street and/or east of 24th, crossing one or both streets are tremendously unsafe and difficult to do. the only real option to do it safely and lawfully is at the intersection of 84th st and 24th ave.

North of 85th. splits a development in half F4

North of 85th. splits a development in half E3
It currently takes us .4 SAFE miles to walk to school at North Beach. It would take us .9 UNSAFE miles to walk to Loyal Heights.

Currently, the distance from our home to North Beach Elementary is .4 miles. The distance to Loyal Heights is .9 miles, more than doubled.

These properties and the North Beach neighborhood as a whole are separated from the Loyal Heights attendance area by a major arterial street, NW 85th Street. They are also located within walking distance of North Beach Elementary with some properties directly adjacent to the school property. They should be part of the North Beach attendance area. Elementary age children will have to cross 24th Ave NW, which is another major arterial. It's not safe, particularly when there are no major arterials en route to North Beach for at least half of the children potentially affected by this boundary change.
These kids live in North Beach neighborhood and currently walk to school or get a quick ride from parents. The would require bus service to Loyal Heights due to lack of sidewalks, steep hill up 24th Ave NW and crossing several arterials.

Splitting a cohesive neighborhood along 19th. Why not 23rd, which would prevent children on the west side of 23rd from having to cross a dangerous and very busy 4-lane? Not to mention avoiding the historically troubled intersection of 23rd and Union.
Divides neighborhood at 19th. The tiny tail end of the boundary in the SW corner seems to divide a neighborhood in half. Why not lop it off at Cherry rather than extending just these 4 blocks south to Alder (itself smack in the middle of a residential area)?

Spring Street between 25th and 28th has been the location of multiple drive-by shootings.

Area 42 is not in the same neighborhood as Madrona. Getting kids to walk there or ride their bikes would be near impossible.

Spokane St S is a main arterial from I-5 on which people drive very fast. This is a busier thoroughfare than Beacon Ave. Asking kids to cross this street is unsafe. There is a safe place to cross Beacon Ave to get to Kimball; there are too many unsafe crossings or very busy intersections to cross to get from the C3 neighborhood to Maple (Spokane, 14th/Columbian Way), 15th/Columbian Way.)
The new boundaries carve out a group of homes north of Spokane street and send them out of their neighborhood - where their neighbors know them and keep them safe, to a school nearly two miles away (where they would have to cross two busy four lane streets and pass through unfamiliar neighborhoods -- as opposed to allowing them to stay in their neighborhood and attend one of the two elementary schools that are actually in easy walking distance and only a half a miles from their home. This makes no sense. Spokane is a geographic boundary that divides beacon hill neighborhoods.
Beacon Ave. S & Spokane is the safest way to cross Spokane, but requires a very long detour for most of the students north of Spokane to the east and then back to the west to get to Maple.

In addition to crossing 4 lanes of traffic on Spokane, our son would also have to cross 3-4 lanes of traffic on Columbian or 15th in order to get to Maple. These are major arterial ways that create boundaries between our neighborhoods. It much more dangerous for him to be crossing all of these streets, plus his walk is 2x as long.
Holman road. Main arterial. with pedestrian issues already. would require bus transportation. No connection to North Beach area

E6

Holman road. Main arterial. with pedestrian issues already. would require bus transportation. No connection to North Beach area

D6
drawing the boundary at 90th street would burden the west crown hill community (from 90th street to 85th street and 15th ave to 20th ave) because it would exclude this part of the neighborhood from the rest of crown hill. because it is north of 85th street, this neighborhood is assimilated to the local culture of north beach, rather than loyal heights. also, for those who live north of 85th street, this imposes a geographic barrier as it is difficult and unsafe to cross this street. it seems to make much more sense to draw the boundary that divides NB from LH at 85th street.

D6

drawing the boundary at 90th street would burden the west crown hill community (from 90th street to 85th street and 15th ave to 20th ave) because it would exclude this part of the neighborhood from the rest of crown hill. because it is north of 85th street, this neighborhood is assimilated to the local culture of north beach, rather than loyal heights. also, for those who live north of 85th street, this imposes a geographic barrier as it is difficult and unsafe to cross this street. it seems to make much more sense to draw the boundary that divides NB from LH at 85th street.

NB appears to be the only school with a boundary line drawn right along school grounds, rather than in the middle.
You have removed kids who actually live in North Beach from North Beach's boundary! Crazy. At least add the kids back in north of NW 94th St. to Puget Sound and east of 28th Ave NW to NW 85th St.
3) The Blue Ridge neighborhood is split between North Beach and Loyal Heights in a seemingly arbitrary fashion, sometimes having next-door neighbors assigned to different schools. Examine carefully the dividing line between these two attendance areas. 28th Ave. NW might work. Consider the neighborhood division: there is a sign on 28th Ave. NW and possibly NW 95th St. (?) that says, "North Beach" referring to the neighborhood. A possibility is east side of 28th Ave. NW all the way to the north side of NW 95th St. could be North Beach and the streets to the south or west of this could be Loyal Heights. Because Esplanade and NW Blue Ridge Dr. are contiguous, seems better to leave neighbors at the same school (everyone on this street either goes to North Beach or Loyal Heights); don't try to divide this street. Also, note that there is an internal stairway that makes walking from some of these streets to North Beach very reasonable. Another possibility would be looking at the ravine as a natural boundary.

4) To offset the above returned enrollment at North Beach, consider assigning the "no-walk-zone" area between Holman Road and NW 85th St. and 15th Ave. NW and 8th Ave. NW to Loyal Heights. These students have to be bused in any case, so it may work to send them to Loyal Heights. Another possibility might be to keep them at North Beach (with the possibility of portables) and expand the Loyal Heights boundary south.

Seems like Viewlands would be closer and more convenient for homes living north of 100th or

You are putting these children at additional risk by having them walk even further in areas with no sidewalks, rather than sending them south to streets with sidewalks.
8th Ave NW, which is busy arterial, is more natural split than 6th NW, which cuts portions of the Crown Hill neighborhood in half.

This boundary along 24th is literally across the street. There are a number of families that will have to put their kids in cars and move them up the hill for school. This impacts the livelihood of the neighborhood and really flies in the face of logic.

North beach elementary is a small school on a large piece of property, in an area full of turnover from elderly people to young families. It makes no sense to move students from North Beach to Loyal Heights to justify the expansion of Loyal Heights which has less space for increased capacity. The capital projects office should reconsider the original plan that expanded North beach.

These children have been attending North Beach School for years not sure why you would change.
Contrary to common sense - seems a bit illogical that families basically across the street from NB will need to shift schools.

D6

Destroys community - proposed boundary would split up our very family and community focused neighborhood, where children of mixed ages play together, babysit one another, look out for each other, and walk to their neighborhood school (North Beach) together.

D6

children from Olympic Manor would have to walk 9 blocks to school instead of 2

Not including the neighborhoods of Olympic manor and north beach to go to north beach.

In order to encourage community within each school, it is important to encourage already-engaged communities, such as Olympic Manor (which holds celebrations several times a year together and communicates via a web-based blog, etc.) to stay together, have our children walk together and attend school together. Again, dividing this neighborhood seems to against this goal of SPS to encourage community-building efforts.

You would be forcing families east of Holman to commute by car to the school, as Holman is too dangerous to cross.

prevents neighborhood children from attending their neighborhood school
Denying access to the school that is right across the street? C6

Natural walk zone for North Beach but instead placed at a school much further away.

Why should a child risk going so far to North Beach and cross Holman when Whittier is just a few blocks away

There is no geographic barrier or local feature separating the Olympic Manor neighborhood from North Beach Elementary, and these properties are within walking distance of North Beach, yet they are not within the North Beach attendance boundary. Why? This does not seem logical. C5

Similar reason described in Issue #3 above pertaining to the North Beach neighborhood.
Too far to walk. Walking to school is healthier.
Hiram Place is not a through street. It is an awkward boundary. D6

Intersection of NE Pinehurst Way and NE 115th Street is a dangerous crossing (no light, stop sign only). D6
Moving the attendance boundary for Olympic View Elementary from Roosevelt to 15th Ave NE will force young children to cross the most dangerous street in the area, 15th Ave NE to attend Sacajawea. There are no sidewalks, no stoplights, very few painted crosswalks, and the traffic regularly travels over 35 mph. The City has installed an automated radar system to try and get cars to slow down. I walk along 15th every day to catch my bus to the University and shudder to think of small kids having to walk along the narrow shoulders of this road with cars whizzing by at 40 mph. This will be especially dangerous in the fall, when visibility is often poor due to the fog that often blankets this area, and during several periods each winter when snow hits this higher elevation area. It will only be a matter of when, not if, a child is hit. This risk to our children is completely unnecessary and fortunately easily preventable by leaving the western border of the Olympic View Attendance Area at 15th instead of shifting it to Roosevelt.
A busy State Highway (522) that feeds Interstate Highway 5 bisects the proposed boundary and will force elementary students to the south of Highway 522 to cross it on surface streets when traveling to and from school and/or the homes of classmates. Prior to the 2010 school year, I believe the attendance area school for this area was Wedgwood, which did not require crossing a freeway or highway is Wedgwood. Since the 2010 boundary revision, Green Lake has been the neighborhood school for this area. While it is a bit strange for elementary students to have to cross under I-5 to reach school and the homes of their classmates, it is safer than having to cross a busy state highway that feeds I-5 in this area on surface streets.

15th Ave NE is the busiest and most dangerous street in Maple Leaf. There are no sidewalks north of NE 92nd Street. There is only one painted crosswalk (at NE 96th street) and no stoplights. Traffic regularly travels at speeds exceeding 30 mph, including city buses. This is a major safety issue for the young children who will need to cross this street to get to Sacajawea.

SR 522 feeds into the regular and express lanes of I-5 at the southern third of the proposed boundary and the safest place to cross it is on surface street at 15th Ave. NE and Lake City Way—which is the corner where the Delta 9 marijuana dispensary is located.
This area, (north of 95th and south of Meadowbrook Playfield) is currently located within the proposed Wedgwood attendance area. It is located near Sacajawea school, and is accessible via NE 98th Street. If this area was included within the Sacajawea attendance area, it would make sense for Sacajawea to feed into Jane Addams Middle School.

Moving the attendance boundary for Sacajawea Elementary from 15th Ave NE to Roosevelt will force young children to cross the most dangerous street in the area, 15th Ave NE to attend Sacajawea. There are no sidewalks, no stoplights, very few painted crosswalks, and the traffic regularly travels over 35 mph. The City has installed an automated radar system to try and get cars to slow down. I walk along 15th every day to catch my bus to the University and shudder to think of small kids having to walk along the narrow shoulders of this road with cars whizzing by at 40 mph. This will be especially dangerous in the fall, when visibility is often poor due to the fog that often blankets this area, and during several periods each winter when snow hits this higher elevation area. It will only be a matter of when, not if, a child is hit. This risk to our children is completely unnecessary and fortunately easily preventable by leaving the western border of the Sacajawea Attendance Area at 15th instead of shifting it to Roosevelt.
The currently proposed northwest boundary has absolutely no bearing on local geography; whereas the proposed revision to the northeastern portion of the northwest boundary set forth in the attached follows a clear and unmistakable man-made geographic feature of the area (the current Schmitz Park Elementary campus). Once again, its hard to see any logic to the current boundary, which appears entirely arbitrary and needlessly disrupts the current Schmitz Park Elementary designation in the affected area. Some of us in the affected area have given our time, heart and money to this school (notwithstanding the current state of the facilities) and the proposed re-adjustment is just a unnecessary slap in the face. The area east (and south) of Fauntleroy is very close to Fairmont Park elementary and separated by very busy roads. Might want to rethink this boundary.
Making people cross Fauntleroy and Alaska and California to get to Schmitz Park when the new Fairmount Park is just a few blocks away doesn’t seem right.
dividing a neighborhood and offering no walkable solutions. Boundary should be 15th, a busy arterial street. How many times are you going to change Area 42-43 assignment (nee Area 4)?!?! Four times in the last four years seems excessive and very poor planning.

Required to cross busy Rainier Avenue S, a natural boundary line, in order to get to school. E4

Required to cross busy MLK Way with fast traffic, a natural boundary line, in order to get to school. E5
Most of the streets west of Rainier in D5 do not have sidewalks, and there are many light industrial/commercial building with long entrances to parking lots, and lots of traffic from vehicles attempting to avoid lights and traffic on Rainier. Making students cross from houses to the west to a school to the northeast is much less safe then having them go to school to the west (to BHIS as they currently do) which is purely residential and has much more sidewalks. Massachusetts & Rainier is the only cross walk for Rainier in this section, it has a high volume of cars wishing to merge onto I-90. Frequently cars back up at this on ramp and block the cross walk.

D5

a 5 lane arterial, a large greenspace on a steep hill, and 5 blocks of commercial/warehouse separates the east and west sides of the attendance area. D5

a very congested on ramp to I-90 with no safe pedestrian crossing

Pedestrians need to cross street at I-5 on and off ramp. Debris in roadway. steep hills in to intersection limited visibility. 35mile/hr speed limit heavy traffic encroaching on bike lane. E3

Grades exceeding 15% (Not ADA) up Eddy E3
&C3. The line coming down the middle of 40 Ave NE separates the neighborhood that is North of NE 95th St from 35th to 40th Aves NE. The line, should it continue past 95th (as it currently does), should either go behind the houses on the east side of 40th. Or those living on the east side of 40th should be able to go to either View Ridge or John Rogers. There is no direct access to the neighborhood east of 40th, that is it's own neighborhood.
Same as above: Raised median strip along 8th avenue makes for difficult and dangerous west-bound crossing of arterial at these cross streets.

see above (3)
[Q1]: Issue #6  Select the appropriate grid designation  Describe the issue:
Why bus students from Georgetown when there are students who reside next to each other in Beacon Hill? Beacon Hill residents should attend Beacon Hill Schools.  

Why bus students from Georgetown when there are students who reside next to each other in Beacon Hill? Beacon Hill residents should attend Beacon Hill Schools.
Students here have to cross both a major arterial (NE 125th St.) and a state highway (Lake City Way.)  C5

This area is inside the current walk boundary of Olympic Hills, and should be kept connected to Olympic Hills.

The boundary between Cedar Park and Olympic Hills attendance areas, along 25th Ave NE, bisects the Olympic Hills neighborhood, and is only 2 blocks east of Olympic Hills Elementary. Lake City Way NE or 30th Ave NE would be a more appropriate western boundary line for Cedar Park.  C3

The boundary between Cedar Park and Olympic Hills attendance areas, along 25th Ave NE, bisects the Olympic Hills neighborhood, and is only 2 blocks east of Olympic Hills Elementary. Lake City Way NE or 30th Ave NE would be a more appropriate western boundary line for Cedar Park.
One-way Streets Over I-5: 80th Street would be a great option if it worked both ways, but it's one-way. :(

Feeder Schools: Living on 72nd NE and 9th Ave., we would be fed into Hamilton Middle School in South Wallingford, even though we live a mile away from Eckstein (where we wouldn't have to cross into another section of town) or five-ish blocks from John Marshall School (but who knows what's going on with this school. My son went to a private pre-school there, but SAGA was booted out to make a middle school??). This middle school panic feeds (ha ha) into a high school panic. If we are fed into Hamilton Middle School, then WHAT high school will we be fed into?! Keep in mind that we live about four blocks from Roosevelt High School, but according to the feeder schools, Ty would go to Ballard or Queen Anne. Please help!!!
The boundary between John Rogers and Wedgwood in this area apparently runs between backyards of houses along the west side of 32nd Ave NE (between NE 100th and NE 105th). This is an awkward boundary. A more appropriate boundary may be to assign the east side of 32nd to John Rogers, and the west side of 32nd to Wedgwood (or maybe to Sacajawea, if boundaries are re-configured).

Homes south of 120th are backed, to the south, by a ravine, and are somewhat isolated from the remainder of the John Rogers attendance area.
General comment - the whole spatial extent is too big for one school. What are the growth projections for the downtown area, especially South Lake Union? Seems like short term planning again...

House facing North Beach elementary and neighborhood must drive past one elementary to attend another
Our sons' friends would no longer live within safe walking/biking distance but would also live across I-5 in Georgetown or across Spokane and 15th or Columbian. This extends down E. C3

Some of our children's friends would live all the way across I-5 and Marginal Way...not within walking/biking distance like they are now. This extends down C.
inside walk zone now. school is in neighborhood C6 inside walk zone now. school is in neighborhood
same as above (6)
As with C5, North Beach is across the street. There is no need to draw the line there.
Intersection of NE 115th St and Roosevelt is difficult to cross (no light, only a stop sign)  C6

Intersection of NE 115th St and 5th Ave NE is difficult to cross (no light, stop sign only)
A busy State Highway (522) that feeds Interstate Highway 5 bisects the proposed boundary and will force elementary students to the south of Highway 522 to cross it on surface streets when traveling to and from school and/or the homes of classmates. Prior to the 2010 school year, I believe the attendance area school for this area was Wedgwood, which did not require crossing a freeway or highway is Wedgwood. Since the 2010 boundary revision, Green Lake has been the neighborhood school for this area. While it is a bit strange for elementary students to have to cross under I-5 to reach school and the homes of their classmates, it is safer than having to cross a busy state highway that feeds I-5 in this area on surface streets.

SR 522 feeds into the regular and express lanes of I-5 at the southern third of the proposed boundary and the safest place to cross it is on surface street at 15th Ave. NE and Lake City Way--which is the corner where the Delta 9 marijuana dispensary is located.
Same as F4
Required to cross busy MLK Way with fast traffic, a natural boundary line, in order to get to school E6

Required to cross busy MLK Way with fast traffic, a natural boundary line, in order to get to school
On the single sidewalk on the west side of 23rd Ave that could take students north, there are frequently cars parked in driveways with their rear ends abutting the street completely blocking the sidewalk for pedestrians. D5

Overall Rainier Ave forms a very solid barrier between the steep incline up Beacon Hill and the neighboring hill that Thurgood Marshall is situated on.

Sidewalk in poor condition. E3

Bike lane not insulated from traffic (sharrows). Bike lane hard against guardrail and chainlink fence. Possible entrapment.
[Q1]: Issue #7  Select the appropriate grid designation  Describe the issue:  

[Q1]: Issue #8  Select the appropriate grid designation
Why bus students from Georgetown when there are students who reside next to each other in Beacon Hill? Beacon Hill residents should attend Beacon Hill Schools.
This area is inside the current walk boundary of Olympic Hills, and should be kept connected to Olympic Hills.
Homes south of 120th are backed, to the south, by a ravine, and are somewhat isolated from the remainder of the John Rogers attendance area.
inside walk zone now. school is in neighborhood
Neighborhood north of 85th, A5 and A6, are geographically relative and the same neighborhood as the above grids I've mentioned. I've lived in this neighborhood and run through the streets, anything north of 85th and west of 15th should be in the North Beach neighborhood. A6
E3  Bike lane crosses I-5 on/off ramp.
Describe the issue:
Why bus students from Georgetown when there are students who reside next to each other in Beacon Hill? Beacon Hill residents should attend Beacon Hill Schools.
inside walk zone now. school is in neighborhood
Neighborhood north of 85th, A5 and A6, are geographically relative and the same neighborhood as the above grids I've mentioned. I've lived in this neighborhood and run through the streets, anything north of 85th and west of 15th should be in the North Beach neighborhood.