

---

# 2020 Facility Master Plan Update

---

Seattle School Board

Work Session #3

February 27, 2008



SEATTLE  
PUBLIC  
SCHOOLS

# Overview

- This is work session #3 in a series of 3 sessions regarding the 2008 update to the Facilities Master Plan (FMP)
  
- The FMP is the long range planning document with a planning horizon of 2020
  - Policy document of the state-required 2008 Study and Survey
  
- The draft 2020 FMP document provided tonight is posted on the District Website under “Facilities”



# Agenda

- Follow-up to Work Session #2
  - Chapter 10 – Building Maintenance and Repair
  - Strategies on the BMAR
  
- Relationship to other planning efforts
  
- Chapter 1 – Page 13 Facilities Guidelines
  
- Chapter 11 – Criteria Used in Analysis of Capital Projects
  
- Next Steps



# FMP Update

## Follow up from Work Session #2

- Additional Information on the Backlog of Maintenance and Repair (BMAR):
- 2008 Estimate of \$485.5 Million
  - Approximately \$90 million is associated with Closed & Leased buildings
  - Approximately \$72 million is associated with schools that will be renovated or replaced in BEX III
  - Up to \$15 million will go towards infrastructure improvements
  - Up to \$46.5 million is in the “B” portion of BTA II yet to be done



# FMP Update

## Follow up from Work Session #2

- ***Strategies to address the BMAR:***
  - Increase general fund budget to the Maintenance Department for the re-establishment of Zone Crews
    - Approximately \$550,000 per crew per year
    - Preventative and Scheduled Maintenance
    - Schedule Bi-Annual visits by Zone Crew to address small repair items before they become large
  - Restructure the Maintenance Budget
  - Maintain Up-to-date condition reports
  - Adequate Management and Oversight
  - Increase the levy funds to the “B” portion of BTA
    - BTA I had 40% of levy dollars (\$60M) in the “B” portion
    - BTA II has 54% of levy dollars (\$95.5M) in the “B” portion



# FMP Update

## Follow up from Work Session #2

- **Boulder - BMAR strategies**
  - **Boulder** – Passed special bond to deal with Maintenance Backlog
    - Has approximately 4-million square feet
    - 54 Maintenance personnel
    - 3 zone crews
    - 2007 - \$12 million dollar budget
    - 226 work order backlog
  - **Seattle**
    - Has approximately 9 million square feet
    - 102 maintenance personnel;
    - 0 zone crews
    - 2007 – 8.3 million dollar budget
    - 4500 work order backlog



# FMP Update

How the FMP will relate to other planning efforts – Strategic Plan, Academic Plan, and Student Assignment Plan

- Strategic Planning Process: The 2020 FMP includes the goals;
  - FMP adoption is scheduled before the adoption of the Strategic Plan –
- Academic Plans – Facilities support the Academics, not Facilities driving Academics
- Student Assignment – Overall demographics show a slow decline;
  - Capacity planning flexible to allow for shifts in populations and assignment plans;
  - Criteria for future capital projects include capacity and academic considerations
- Any necessary adjustments due to the outcome of the Strategic Plan, Academic Plan and Student Assignment can be amended at the future informal update to the 2020 FMP



# FMP Update – Chapter 1

## Facilities Guidelines - Page 13

### Facilities Planning Principles:

- Elementary and K-8:
  - Small: 2 classes per grade
  - Large: 3 classes per grade
  - Capacity dependent on class size
  
- Middle
  - 900 – 1000 Students
  - Houses of 150 students
  
- High
  - 1000 – 1600 students
  - Build new for a capacity of 1600 students



# FMP Update – Chapter 11

## Criteria used in the Analysis of Capital Projects

- Student Characteristics
  - Support students of greatest need
- Capacity of Schools
  - Provide capacity for the demand of the population
- Geographic Considerations
  - Cluster needs in relationship to the city as a whole
- Essential facilities for instructional program
  - Facilities necessary for instruction
- Useful life for educational use
  - How many years before modernization necessary



# FMP Update – Chapter 11

## Criteria used in the Analysis of Capital Projects

- Facility Condition
  - Physical deficiencies
- Safety and Fire protection
  - Student safety and security
- Educational Program Adequacy
  - Ability to serve current program
- Building Environment
  - Comfort and configuration



# FMP Update – Chapter 11

## Criteria used in the Analysis of Capital Projects

- Establishment of Capital Program Project Team
  - Team to be made up of District Academic, Technology, and Facility Staff
  - School Staff / BLT
  - Outside consultants as necessary
  
- Facility Adequacy and Educational Adequacy
  - Assessed on a scoring range of 1 to 5
    - 1 represents meeting District standards
    - 3 represents District Average
    - 5 represents below District Standards
  
- Staff recommendation to the Superintendent and Senior Staff
  
- Board Adoption



---

# FMP Update

## Discussion



# Next Steps

- February 27 School Board Work Session #3 to review Draft Document, scheduled before regular Board Meeting from 4:00 – 5:00
- March 12 Introduction of 2008 Study and Survey/FMP
- March 19 Public Hearing (if necessary)
- March 26 Adoption of 2008 Study and Survey/FMP
- April 1 Deadline for Submission to OSPI as 2008 Study and Survey / FMP

