

**Building Excellence II Levy Oversight Committee
Meeting Notes, December 10, 2004
Stanford Center, Room 2765, 7:30a - 9:30a**

ATTENDANCE:

Members Present

David Hudd
Joey Ing
Ron Jelaco
Mark Melroy
Karin Nyrop
Ed Peters
Jim Travis

District Staff

Gary Baldasari
Don Gillmore
Ron English
Julie Krick
Nan Stavshoj

Heery International Staff

Mike Finnegan
Roxann Robinson
Ralph Rohwer

Visitor

Chris Jackins

Members Absent

School Board Directors

Mary Bass
Sally Soriano

APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 10, OCTOBER 8, NOVEMBER 18 MEETING NOTES

- All meeting notes were approved.

BRIEFING ON NOVEMBER 22 BOARD WORK SESSION

- The objective of the work session was to provide an overview of the BEX II program, each project, the budget, and where flexibility in funding is.

Board actions from the work session

- The Board approved a \$1.9M fund transfer from the ending fund balance to reinstate the original Beacon Hill design.
- The Board will approve a Roosevelt parking option at the next meeting. The preferred option is on-site for a cost of \$500K - \$1M.
- The Board requested more information on Cleveland and Garfield. This will be provided at a work session scheduled for January 12.
- The Board would like a comparison of maintenance costs of masonry, hardiboard and metal.

Committee discussion and comment

- Slide 20 – Mary Bass requested the Committee’s help in identifying ways to fund the \$7M needed to maintain the original design at Garfield.
- Cleveland slide – There are concerns about the academy model and whether the design is flexible enough to handle instructional changes. The design of the building is based on four academies but there are only three academies operating.
- BEX II funding – The current program variance is a result of revenue shortfall and escalation. Only two projects have not been designed, Lincoln/Hamilton and World School. Lincoln/Hamilton may cost less than has been budgeted. The entire Lincoln building was never intended to be used for Hamilton.
- Maple/Dearborn Park/Wing Luke - Maple will have a choice of veneers, metal or masonry, depending on cost. If the Board wants all projects to have metal siding it will cost about \$300K per project for Dearborn Park, Maple, and Wing Luke. Masonry veneer does require some maintenance with sealing. Hardiboard does have to be painted.
- Maple is now estimated to be about \$300K over budget but final estimates are not in. It has been value engineered. Dearborn Park will be bid in March with a bid

alternate of the western addition childcare. It may not be needed as there is no demand for childcare at that school.

Committee recommendations/requests

- The better resolution of the shortfall issue is to finish projects that have been started as close to the original design as necessary. The last two projects can be completed either with remaining available budget or moved to the next levy.
- Prepare a revenue report for each Committee meeting and a description of how revenue is tracked.
- There are opportunities to educate the public about the causes of the budget shortfall problem; escalation, which is systemic to the industry, and a revenue shortfall. The public should understand that the projects are being well run and the shortfall is not a wasteful spending issue. Board presentations are made in public with the media present so this is an opportunity to educate the media and the public. The public usually understands the issues and wants to know what the plan is for solving the problem.
- Buildings should be designed for the level of maintenance they will receive. The public needs to be aware that architects and engineers stay current on the current issues and technologies, such as mold and air quality.

PROJECT UPDATE

Ralph reviewed the Program Budget Projection and Program Cost Summary.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

An executive session was held.

NEXT AGENDA, JANUARY 14

- Quarterly report to be given in January.
- Communications director at Committee meeting
- History of Roosevelt HVAC issue
- Make sure Committee meeting schedule is on Mark's calendar
- How building materials are selected for NW conditions; weather, mold, etc.
- How renovation contingency is different than a new-construction contingency

Adjourned 9:45a